

A. Ishikawa (KEK) 石川明正



32nd International Conference on High Energy Physics BICC, Beijing, China

18, Aug, 2004

Introduction

- b→s l+l-
 - First observed by Belle in $B \rightarrow KII$
 - Proceed through γ penguin, Z penguin and W box diagrams
 - Sensitive to new physics $H^+, A^0, \tilde{\chi}^+, \tilde{\chi}^0, \tilde{g}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{b}$
 - Sign of Wilson coefficient C₇ as well as C₉ and C₁₀ can be obtained from q^2 distribution and A_{FB}(q^2).
 - BF is low compared with b \rightarrow s γ , suppressed by additional α_{em} \rightarrow need large statistics



Measurement of $B \rightarrow X_s I^+I^-$

- Theoretically clean, ~15% uncertainty on BF.
- Experimentally challenging
 - fully-inclusive di-lepton \leftarrow impossible with current statistics
 - o semi-inclusive Xs reconstruction ← possible!
- X_s hadron is reconstructed semi-inclusively
 - One K⁺ or K_s + 0~4 pions (allow at most one π^0)
 - \circ 18 decay modes covering 52% of X_s final states. (80% with K_L)
- With di-electron or di-muon
 - Electron ID efficiency ~ 95%, fake rate 0.2% @ 1.5GeV
 - Muon ID efficiency ~ 90%, fake rate 1.0% @1.5GeV
 - \circ M_{ee}> 0.2 GeV to suppress Dalitz decays and converted photons
- Signal extraction
 - Fit to beam constrained mass distribution
- 140/fb data containing 152 million BB is used for this analysis

Measurement of $B \rightarrow X_s I^+I^-$ cont'd

- Background suppression is most important in this analysis.
- Four background sources
 - o dilepton events from continuum, mostly from charm events. Dominant

backgrounds

- dilepton events from $B\overline{B}$: $B \rightarrow XIv, B \rightarrow YIv$
- $B \rightarrow J/\Psi X_s$ events
 - Veto J/Ψ with dilepton invariant mass
- $B \rightarrow X_s h^+ h^-$ events (h refers kaon or pion)
 - Reconstruct X_s h⁺ h⁻ and multiply momentum and angular dependent lepton ID fake rate.



Dilepton Background suppression

- 5 variables into likelihood ratio(LR)
 - Event shape, angular distribution of B candidate momentum
 - $\circ~$ Missing mass, energy and $\chi^2~$ of vertexing
- LR shape of real data in good agreement that of MC
- LR >0.9(>0.6 for M<1.1) cut retains 71% signal while removes 90% BG.
- Reconstruction Efficiencies for electron and muon modes are 2.6% and 2.9% respectively.



Result for $B \rightarrow X_s I^+ I^-$

• 68±14 X_s I⁺I⁻ signal events with 5.8 σ significance



Branching Fraction for $B \rightarrow X_s I^+I^-$

BF is in good agreement with the SM prediction and previous results.



M_{Xs} and q^2 distributions in $B \rightarrow X_s I^+I^-$

- M_{χ_s} and q^2 distributions are measured.
- Kll and K*ll are clearly seen.
- q² distribution is consistent with the prediction by Ali et al.



Measurement of $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} I^+I^-$

- Large theoretically uncertainty ~30% on BF.
 - Mostly from uncertainty in form factor model.
 - Experimental precision is already comparable to theoretical uncertainty.
 - Will be good calibration mode for QCD (form factor) in semi-leptonic B decays
- experimentally straightforward
 - clean → more suitable to measure A_{FB} than inclusive decay
- Reconstruction method is almost same as semi-inclusive analysis.
- 253/fb data containing 273 million BB is used for this analysis

Result for $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} I^+ I^-$



A Ratio of Branching fractions

 A ratio of BF of Kµµ to Kee is sensitive to neutral Higgs emission from internal loop in 2HDM with large tanβ. If Higgs contribution is large, this ratio is greater than unity.



Y. Wang and D. Atwood Phys. Rev. D68(2003) 094016

 Same ratio for K*II is sensitive to size of photon pole. In the SM, this ratio is about 0.75.

0.75.

$$\mathcal{R}_{K\ell\ell} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to K\mu\mu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to Kee)} = 1.38^{+0.39}_{-0.41} + 0.06$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{K^*\ell\ell} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to K^*\mu\mu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to K^*ee)} = 0.98^{+0.30}_{-0.31} \pm 0.08$$

 \sim 0.75 in the SM.

= 1.00

Both ratios are consistent with the SM prediction, so far.

q^2 distributions for $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} I^+ I^-$

- Yellow bands show ranges of theoretical prediction.
- Both results are consistent with the SM prediction, so far.



First look at A_{FB}

- Raw A_{FB} in each q² region is extracted from M_{bc} fit.
- Dotted lines show charmonium veto windows.
- Kll has no asymmetry, so it is a good control sample.
- Curves show theoretical distributions including experimental efficiency effect (not fitted lines!).
- Both curves are in agreement with data, so far.



Summary

- improved measurements of inclusive $B \rightarrow Xs$ II and exclusive $B \rightarrow KII and K^*II.$
- Measured BFs, ratios of BFs and q2 distributions are in good agreement with the SM theoretical prediction.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}(B \to X_{s}\ell^{+}\ell^{-}) &= (41.1 \pm 8.3^{+7.4}_{-7.0}) \times 10^{-7} \\ \mathcal{B}(B \to K\ell^{+}\ell^{-}) &= (5.50^{+0.75}_{-0.70} \pm 0.27 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-7} \\ \mathcal{B}(B \to K^{*}\ell^{+}\ell^{-}) &= (16.5^{+2.3}_{-2.2} \pm 0.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7} \\ \mathcal{R}_{K\ell\ell} &= 1.38^{+0.39}_{-0.41}_{-0.07} \\ \mathcal{R}_{K^{*}\ell\ell} &= 0.98^{+0.30}_{-0.31} \pm 0.08 \\ \\ \end{split}$$
First look at A_{FB}

Ali et al. Phys.Rev.D66:034002,2002