# Planar Undulator Performance and Harmonic Generation in a Constant Magnetic Field

K.V. Zhukovsky\*, \*M.V..Lomonosov Moscow State University, Physical Faculty, dept. of Theoretical Physics. 119992 Moscow, Leninskie Gory. <u>zhukovsk@phys.msu.ru</u>

### UNDULATOR RADIATION

- Properties of UR high beam intensity, narrow cone of emission — decisive for UR applications. They gave rise and development to free electron lasers - FEL.
- Modern undulators produce fast and high coherent e<sup>-</sup>
   beams, have high brightness, high Roentgen range.
- They work with multiple magnetic fields and contain many periods to achieve given emission characteristics.
- Problems (some of them): distortions of the magnetic field, inhomogeneity of periodic structure, energy spread and beam emittance can effect operation of the devices significantly.
- Mathematical instruments for our analysis modified special functions to obtain analytical expressions.

# PLANAR UNDULATOR WITH TRANSVERSAL

#### Constant Magnetic Component

 Magnetic field of a planar undulator, distorted by a constant magnetic field, usually present in undulators with constant magnets. Consider it in transversal plane:



- $\kappa$  and coefficients, relating the amplitude of the constant constituent of the magnetic field  $B_d$  to the amplitude of the periodic magnetic field  $B_0$ .
- Common approximations for undulator problems:

$$\gamma >> 1, \beta_{\perp} << 1, \beta_{\perp} H_{\parallel} << H_{\perp}, \vec{E} = 0$$

#### **RADIATION INTEGRAL**

 Far zone: distance undulator – observer exceeds significantly undulator length. The radiation integral:

$$\frac{d^2 I}{d\omega d\Omega} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2 c} \left| \omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[ \vec{n} \times \left[ \vec{n} \times \vec{\beta} \right] \right] \exp(i\omega(t - \vec{n}\vec{r}/c)) \right|^2$$

- Exact mathematical treatment: on-axis generalized Bessel functions of two arguments:  $J_{i}^{(m)}(u, v)$
- Angle distribution generalized Bessel functions of four arguments. Generating exponent for them:

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} t^{k} J_{k}^{(m,p)}(x,y;u,v) = \exp\left\{\frac{x}{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{t}\right)+\frac{y}{2}\left(t^{m}-\frac{1}{t^{m}}\right)+\frac{u}{2}\left(t^{p}-\frac{1}{t^{p}}\right)+\frac{v}{2}\left(t^{mp}-\frac{1}{t^{mp}}\right)\right\}$$

#### ADD-ON: PROPERTIES OF BESSEL FUNCTIONS

• Integral presentation:  $J_n^{(m,p)}(x,y;u,v) =$ 

 $\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\pi} d\theta \cos[n\theta - x\sin(\theta) - y\sin(m\theta) - u\sin(p\theta) - v\sin(pm\theta)]$ 

- Expansion in series of 2-variables generalized Bessel  $J_{n}^{(m,p)}(x,y;u,v) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{n-pl}^{(m)}(x,y) J_{l}^{(m)}(u,v)$
- Expansion in series of common Bessel functions.  $J_n^{(m)}(x,y) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{n-ml}(x) J_l(y)$
- Generating exponent:  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in\alpha} J_n^{(m,p)}(x, y, z, \rho) = \exp\{i[x \sin \alpha + y \sin m\alpha + z \sin p\alpha + \rho \sin pm\alpha]\}$
- Symmetry properties reflection property.  $J_n^{(m,p)}(x, y, z, \rho) = (-1)^n J_{-n}^{(m,p)}(-x, -y, -z, -\rho)$

#### GENERALIZED AIRY FUNCTIONS

Non-periodic magnetic components are accounted for by generalized Airy functions.

Off the axis -S(, , ) of three arguments:

$$S(\alpha,\beta,\varepsilon) \equiv \int_0^1 e^{i(\alpha\tau+\varepsilon\tau^2+\beta\tau^3)} d\tau,$$

On the undulator axis it reduces to S(,):

$$S(\alpha,\beta) = \int_0^1 e^{i(\alpha\tau+\beta\tau^3)} d\tau = S(\alpha,\beta,0)$$

In purely periodic oscillating field it becomes sinc( /2):

$$S(\alpha, 0, 0) = e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}}\operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right).$$

#### Fundamental UR harmonic



#### **COMMON PLANAR UNDULATOR SPECTRUM**

$$\omega_{n_0} = n\omega_{R_0} = \frac{2\omega_0 n\gamma^2}{1 + k^2/2},$$

 $k = eB_0 \lambda_u / 2\pi mc^2$  — undulator parameter, n — harmonic number.  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \, etc.$ 

Undulator spectrum consists of the harmonics  $n_{R0}$  and it is characterized by the detuning parameter n:

$$v_n = 2\pi Nn \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_n} - 1\right),$$
 is the parameter in S( , ).

 $_{n}$  = 0 determines the peak frequency of a common UR line.

#### Modified Undulator Spectrum

$$\omega_n \big|_{\psi=0} = n\omega_R = \frac{2n\gamma^2 \omega_0}{1 + \frac{k^2}{2} + (\gamma \mathcal{P}_H)^2}, \ n = 0, 1, 2, 3 \, etc.$$

Bending angle (like off the axis!):  $\theta_{H} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \pi N \kappa_{1} \frac{k}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}\gamma} \left( \frac{e}{mc^{2}} \kappa_{1} B_{0} L \right)$ 

- •Bending angle  $\mathcal{P}_{H}$  is due to constant constituent  $B_d$ .
- • $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ , depends on the absolute value of  $B_d$  field.
- •Its effect is accumulated along the undulator and depends on the number of undulator periods N.
- •The total undulator length L counts !

#### Spectrum Shift by a Constant Field

• Constant magnetic field shifts the spectrum with  $\Delta \omega_n$ :

$$\Delta \omega_{R} = \omega_{n} - \omega_{n0} = -\frac{\omega_{n0}}{1 + \frac{(1 + k^{2}/2)}{(\gamma \theta_{H})^{2}}}$$

 Peak frequency of *n*-th harmonic of the undulator, affected by the constant magnetic field corresponds

$$\nu_{n \text{Res}} = -\frac{2\pi N n (\gamma \theta_{H})^{2}}{1 + k^{2}/2} = -\frac{n}{3} \frac{(2\pi N)^{3} \kappa_{1}^{2}}{1/2 + 1/k^{2}}$$

• It is exactly zero when  $\kappa_1 = 0$  and since  $v_{nRes} \sim N^3 B_d^2$  it is highly sensitive to the number of undulator periods and to the field intensity  $B_d$ .

#### **On-Axis UR intensity**

- For the undulator radiation off the axis we have expressions, involving  $\tilde{J}_{n,p}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and S(, , ).
- On-axis we have 2-argument Bessel and Airy functions.
- In a weak  $B_d$  field ( ,  $\ll$ 1), on-axis UR intensity becomes:



#### ON-AXIS UR – EVEN (n=2) HARMONIC



• The 2d harmonic of the undulator with N = 100, k = 2due to the constant field  $B_d = \kappa_1 B_0$ . Note low intensity.

#### Condition for Good UR Line Shape

•Condition for good line shape of UR harmonic, in the presence of the constant magnetic field  $B_d$ :



### Emission Line Width and Broadening by the Constant Field

•Half-width of the common UR harmonic:

$$\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_{n0}} = \frac{\omega - \omega_{n0}}{\omega_{n0}} = \frac{1}{nN} \ll 1$$
 for any realistic N

Half-width of the broadening due to the constant magnetic

$$\left|\frac{\Delta\omega_{R}}{\omega_{n}}\right| = \frac{(\gamma\theta_{H})^{2}}{1+k^{2}/2} = \frac{1}{3}\frac{(2\pi N\kappa_{1})^{2}}{1/2+1/k^{2}} << 1$$

Is surely fulfilled if < max. Field broadening parameter:

$$\mu_{H} \equiv \frac{\Delta \omega_{R} / \omega_{n}}{\Delta \omega / \omega_{n0}} = Nn \left| \frac{\Delta \omega_{R}}{\omega_{n}} \right|,$$

field:

$$\mu_{H}\Big|_{\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{\max}} \approx 1,$$

for distinguished line shape

#### Broadening by Off the Axis Effects

Half-width of the broadening due to emittance:

$$\left\|\left\langle\frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega}\right\rangle\right|_{x,y} = \frac{\gamma^2}{1+k^2/2}\Theta_{x,y}^2, \qquad \Theta_{x,y} = \varepsilon_{x,y} / \sigma_{x,y}$$

• Emittance broadening parameter:

$$\mu_{x,y} = nN \left| \left\langle \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} \right\rangle \right|_{x,y}$$

- Is the broadening dominated by the angular divergence of the beam or by the constant magnetic field? It depends on which bending angle is bigger: x,y > H or x,y < H</li>

## Combined — Field and Off the Axis Effect on the UR Spectrum

• UR spectrum with off the axis and with field effect:

$$\omega_{\mathbf{n}}\big|_{\psi\neq0, B_{d}\neq0} = n\omega_{R} = \frac{2n\omega_{0}\gamma^{2}}{\left(1+\frac{k^{2}}{2}\right) + (\gamma\psi)^{2} + (\gamma\theta_{H})^{2} - \sqrt{3}(\gamma\theta_{H})(\gamma\Omega)}$$

$$\Omega = \psi \left( \rho \sin \varphi - \kappa \cos \varphi \right) / \kappa_{1}.$$

 Constant field and off the axis effect can compensate each other, but NOT completely!

#### OFF THE AXIS EFFECTS COMPENSATION

• Angular divergence is compensated by bending angle:

$$\tilde{\theta}_{_{H}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\Omega, \quad \Omega = \psi \frac{\rho \sin \varphi - \kappa \cos \varphi}{\kappa_{_{1}}}, \qquad \kappa_{_{1}} = \sqrt{\rho^{^{2}} + \kappa^{^{2}}}$$

• Horizontal (radial) divergence compensation by vertical field component:  $\sqrt{3}_{\kappa}$ 

$$\tilde{\theta}_{_{H}} = \mp \psi \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \frac{\kappa}{\kappa_{_{1}}}, \ \varphi = 0, \pi.$$

 Vertical divergence compensation by horizontal field component:

$$\widetilde{ heta}_{_{\!H}}=\pm\psirac{\sqrt{3}}{2}rac{
ho}{\kappa_{_{\!1}}},\ arphi=\pmrac{\pi}{2}.$$

• Best compensation (in half-plane) up to:  $\psi \to \psi / 2$ . (with very little on-axis fade!) for  $|\beta| < 10$ .

#### BROADENING DUE TO BEAM ENERGY SPREAD

Broadening due to the electron energy spread in beam:

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \left| S(\nu_{n} + 4\pi n N\varepsilon, \beta) \right| \right)^{2} \exp(-\varepsilon^{2}/2\sigma_{e}) / \sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{e}} \, d\varepsilon$$

with

- Relevant line broadening is  $(\Delta \omega / \omega)_{\varepsilon} \approx 2\sqrt{\sigma_e}$ zero average shift.
- Energy spread broadening parameter:

$$\mu_{\varepsilon} \equiv \frac{\left(\Delta \omega \,/\, \omega\right)_{\varepsilon}}{\left(\Delta \omega \,/\, \omega\right)_{0}} \approx 4 N n \sqrt{\sigma_{e}}$$

- Broadening effects, induced by energy spread in beams, are negligible when  $\sqrt{\sigma_e} << 1/(4nN)$ 

#### BROADENING DUE TO BEAM ENERGY SPREAD



• Beam energy spread effects on the fundamental harmonic of the undulator with k = 2, N = 100.



- Spectral line rapidly degrades with rise of  $B_d$ .
- Undulator with N = 150 periods, 5 kGauss on the axis in the • Earth's magnetic field 0.5 Gauss - means  $B_d \sim 1.10^{-4} B_0$  notice deterioration of spectral line — at limit of effectiveness!
- The Earth creates significant distortions when N>150!



compensation by the constant magnetic field  $B_d = \kappa_1 B_0$ .



• Fundamental harmonic line shift and angular divergence compensation by the constant magnetic field  $B_d = \kappa_1 B_0$ .



- Constant magnetic field can cause serious change in UR spectrum, intensity and cause line broadening in real devices.
- Underlying physics of disturbance deviation of an electron from its regular oscillatory trajectory and disruption of the coherence of electron oscillations in undulators due to constant component of magnetic field.
- Perturbations of the electron trajectory due to the field are accumulated along the undulator length and are comparable with those due to off-axis effects, energy spread in beams and other broadening contributions.
- Constant field can partially compensate off-axis effect.
- Developed analytical method can be applied to virtually any undulator with distortions of periodic magnetic field.

## Some References :

- 1) K.V. Zhukovsky, V.V. Mikhailin, G. Dattoli, P.L. Ottaviani, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 2006, 100, p.084507.
- V.V. Mikhailin, K. Zhukovsky, Dattoli G., Journal of Applied Physics, 104, (2008), 124507
- 3) V.V. Mikhailin, K.V. Zhukovsky, Dattoli G., *Moscow University Physics Bulletin*, 2009, Vol.64, N5, 507-512.
- 4) K. Zhukovsky, Undulator Radiation in Multiple Magnetic Fields in *"Synchrotron: Design, Properties and Applications"*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc. USA 2012.
- K.V. Zhukovsky and V.V. Mikhailin, Synchrotron effects in modern undulators — analytical account in "Recent Res. Devel. Applied Phys.", 10 (2012): 75-94 ISBN: 978-81-7895-562-9.