Study of the Structure of Excited Nucleons by means of the calculation of the electromagnetic transition form factors of the nucleons

E. Santopinto
INFN

Department of Physics Genova University

INT Seattle, november 2016

Outline of the talk

- The Models & hCQM and Int qDiqM
- The helicity amplitudes
- The elastic e.m. form factors of the nucleon
- The Unquenched Quark Model (higher Fock components in a systematic way)

The Model
(hCQM)

hypercentral **C**onstituent **Q**uark **M**odel

different CQMs for bayons

	Kin. Energy	SU(6) inv	SU(6) viol	date
Isgur-Karl	non rel	h.o. + shift	OGE	1978-9
Capstick-Isgur	rel	string + coul-like	OGE	1986
U(7) B.I.L.	rel M^2	vibr+L	Guersey-R	1994
Hyp. O(6)	non rel/rel	hyp.coul+linear	OGE	1995
Glozman Riska	non rel/rel**Plessas**	h.o./linear	GBE	1996
Bonn	rel	linear 3-body	instanton	2001

Non strange spectrum



Capstick & Isgur's Model

Capstick and Isgur, *Phys. Rev. D34, 2809.*



U(7) Algebraic Model

Bijker, Iachello, Leviatan, Ann. Phys. 236, 69 (1994)



GB Model

Glozman & Riska, *Phys. Rept. 268, 263 (1996)*



Hypercentral CQM

$V(x) = -\tau/x + \alpha x$

Giannini, Santopinto, Vassallo, *Eur. Phys. J .A12:447*

Hypercentral Constituent Quark Model
hCQM

free parameters fixed from the spectrum

Comment

The description of the spectrum is the first task of a model builder

Predictions for:

photocouplings

transition form factors

elastic from factors

....

describe data (if possible)

understand what is missing

LQCD (De Rújula, Georgi, Glashow, 1975)

the quark interaction contains

a long range spin-independent confinement

a short range spin dependent term

Spin-independence $\longrightarrow$ SU(6) configurations

SU(6) configurations for three quark states

$$\begin{array}{l} 6 \times 6 \times 6 = 20 + 70 + 70 + 56 \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\;\; \text{A} \quad\;\; \text{M} \quad\;\; \text{M} \quad\;\; \text{S} \end{array}$$

Notation

$$(d, L^{\pi})$$

d = dim of SU(6) irrep
L = total orbital angular momentum
π = parity

PDG 4* & 3*


π = 1
π = 1
π = −1
M
(GeV)
2
1.8
1.6
1.4
1.2
1
0.8
P31
(70,0+)
P11''
F37
P33''
F35
(56,2+)
P13
F15
P33'
(56,0+)'
P11'
P33
(56,0+)
P11
D33 D13'
D15
S11'
S31
(70,1-)
S11
D13

Jacobi coordinates



Hyperspherical Coordinates

$$(\rho, \Omega_\rho, \lambda, \Omega_\lambda) \Rightarrow (x, t, \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\lambda)$$

$$x = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \lambda^2}$$ hyperradius

$$t = arctg\frac{\rho}{\lambda}$$ hyperangle

$\gamma = 2n + l_\rho + l_\lambda$

$$L^2(\Omega) Y_{[\gamma]}(\Omega) = -\gamma(\gamma+4) Y_{[\gamma]}(\Omega)$$

$$L^2(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow C_2(O(6))$$

γ grand angular quantum number

$Y_{[\gamma]}(\Omega)$ Hyperspherical harmonics

$$\sum_{i<j} V(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \approx V(x) + \ldots$$

$\gamma = 2n + l_\rho + l_\lambda$

Hasenfratz et al. 1980:
$\Sigma\, V(r_i, r_j)$ is approximately hypercentral

Hypercentral Hypothesis

$$V = V(x)$$

Factorization

$$\psi(x,t,\Omega_\rho,\Omega_\lambda) = \underset{(\text{"dynamics"})}{\psi_{\nu\gamma}(x)} \quad \underset{(\text{"geometry"})}{Y_{[\gamma,l_\rho,l_\lambda]}}$$

Only one differential equation in x (hyperradial equation)

Hypercentral Model

Phys. Lett. B, 1995

$V(x) = -\tau/x + \alpha\, x$

Hypercentral approximation of $V = - b/r + c\, r$

- QCD fundamental mechanism

3-body forces

Carlson et al, 1983
Capstick-Isgur 1986
hCQM 1995

- Flux tube model





Hypercentral Model (1)

$$H_{3q} = 3m + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m} + V(x) + H_{hyp}$$

M. Ferraris, M. M. Giannini, M. Pizzo, E. Santopinto, L. Tiator, Phys. Lett. B364 (1995), 231

- $V(x) = -\frac{\tau}{x} + \alpha x;$ $\quad H_{hyp} = A \left[\sum_{i<j} V^S(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) \;\; \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j + \text{tensor} \right]$
- 3 parameters τ α A $\leftarrow$ fixed to the spectrum, $m = \frac{M}{3}$



$\tau = 4.59$

$\alpha = 1.61 \text{ fm}^{-1}$

$A \Leftarrow (N - \Delta)$

$$x = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \lambda^2}$$

hyperradius

Results (predictions)
with the Hypercentral Constituent
Quark Model

for

- Helicity amplitudes
- Elastic nucleon form factors

The helicity amplitudes

HELICITY AMPLITUDES

Extracted from electroproduction of mesons



Definition

$$A_{1/2} = \langle N^* \; J_z = 1/2 \,|\, H^T_{em} \,|\, N \; J_z = -1/2 \rangle \qquad \S$$
$$A_{3/2} = \langle N^* \; J_z = 3/2 \,|\, H^T_{em} \,|\, N \; J_z = 1/2 \rangle \qquad \S$$
$$S_{1/2} = \langle N^* \; J_z = 1/2 \,|\, H^L_{em} \,|\, N \; J_z = 1/2 \rangle$$

N, N^* nucleon and resonance as 3q states

H^T_{em} H^l_{em} model transition operator

§ results for the negative parity resonances:
M. Aiello, M.G., E. Santopinto J. Phys. G24, 753 (1998)

Systematic predictions for transverse and longitudinal amplitudes
E. Santopinto et al. , Phys. Rev. C86, 065202 (2012)

Proton and neutron electro-excitation to 14 resonances

P 11(1440), D13(1520), S11(1535), S11(1650), D15(1675), F 15(1680), P 11(1710)
P 33(1232), S31(1620), D33(1700), F 35(19005), F 37(1950)

+ $D13(1700)$ and $P13(1720)$

N(1520) 3/2⁻ transition amplitudes



E. Santopinto, M. Giannini. Phys. Rev. C86, 065202 (2012)



N(1535) ½ $^-$ transition amplitudes



E. Santopinto, M.G.
Phys. Rev. C86,
065202 (2012)



N(1440) ½ $^+$
(Roper)
transition amplitudes



E. Santopinto, M.Giannini, Phys. Rev. C86, 065202 (2012)



(a)
hCQM
PDG
Azn09
Maid07
$A_{3/2}$ P33(1232) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
(b)
hCQM
PDG
Maid07
azn09
$A_{1/2}$ P33(1232) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
(c)
hCQM
Maid07
Azn09
$S_{1/2}$ P33(1232) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)



E. Santopinto, M.Giannini,Phys. Rev. C86, 065202 (2012)



(a)
hCQM
PDG
Maid07
Azn05-2
FH 83
A3/2 D33(1700) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
(b)
hCQM
PDG
Maid07
Azn05-2
FH 83
A1/2 D33(1700) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
(c)
hCQM
Maid07
Azn05-2
S1/2 D33(1700) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)

D33(1700)



A 3/2



A 1/2

E. Santopinto, M.Giannini,Phys. Rev. C86, 065202 (2012)



(a)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQM
A1/2 PDG
A3/2 PDG
D15(1675) (10^-3 GeV^-1/2)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
(b)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A3/2 PDG
A1/2 PDG
A3/2 Azn09
A1/2 Azn09
D13(1700) (10^-3 GeV^-1/2)
Q^2 (GeV^2)


Helicity amplitudes
100
50
0
-50
-100
P11 D13 S11 S11 D15 F15 D13 P11 P13
Helicity amplitudes
100
50
0
-50
-100
-150
0 P11 D13 2 S11 S11 4 D15 F15 6 D13 P11 8 P13
proton -> Δ
A 1/2
A 3/2
hCQM
Helicity amplitudes
200
100
0
-100



(a)
hCQM
PDG
Maid07
Azn05-2
$A_{3/2}$ F15(1680) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
(b)
hCQM
PDG
Maid07
Azn05-2
$A_{1/2}$ F15(1680) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
(c)
hCQM
Maid07
Azn05-2
$S_{1/2}$ F15(1680) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)



(a)
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A1/2 PDG
P11(1710) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
50
40
30
20
10
0
-10
-20
-30
-40
0
1
2
3
4
5
(b)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
P13(1720) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
150
100
50
0
-50
-100
0
1
2
3
4
5


(a)
F35(1905) (10^-3 GeV^-1/2)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A3/2 PDG
A1/2 PDG
(b)
F37(1950) (10^-3 GeV^-1/2)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A3/2 PDG
A1/2 PDG



(a)
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A1/2 PDG
P11(1440) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
(b)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQ
S1/2 hCQM
A3/2 PDG
A1/2 PDG
D13(1520) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
(c)
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A1/2 PDG
S11(1535) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)



(a)
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A1/2 PDG
S11(1650) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
(b)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A3/2 PDG
A1/2 PDG
D15(1675) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)
(c)
A3/2 hCQM
A1/2 hCQM
S1/2 hCQM
A1/2 PDG
A3/2 PDG
F15(1680) (10-3 GeV-1/2)
Q2 (GeV2)



(a)
$A_{3/2}$ hCQM
$A_{1/2}$ hCQM
$S_{1/2}$ hCQM
$A_{3/2}$ PDG
$A_{1/2}$ PDG
D13(1700) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
(b)
$A_{1/2}$ hCQM
$S_{1/2}$ hCQM
$A_{1/2}$ PDG
P11(1720) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)
(c)
$A_{3/2}$ hCQM
$A_{1/2}$ hCQM
$S_{1/2}$ hCQM
$A_{3/2}$ PDG
$A_{1/2}$ PDG
P13(1720) (10^{-3} GeV$^{-1/2}$)
Q^2 (GeV^2)

Resonance	$A^p_{1/2}(hCQM)$ (in units $10^{-3} GeV^{-1/2}$)	$A^p_{1/2}(PDG)$	$A^p_{3/2}(hCQM)$ (in units $10^{-3} GeV^{-1/2}$)	$A^p_{3/2}(PDG)$
P11(1440)	88	-65 ± 4		
D13(1520)	-66	-24 ± 9	67	166 ± 5
S11(1535)	109	90 ± 30		
S11(1650)	69	53 ± 16		
D15(1675)	1	19 ± 8	2	15 ± 9
F15(1680)	-35	-15 ± 6	24	133 ± 12
D13(1700)	8	-18 ± 13	-11	-2 ± 24
P11(1710)	43	9 ± 22		
P13(1720)	94	18 ± 30	-17	-19 ± 20

Resonance	$A^p_{1/2}(hCQM)$	$A^p_{1/2}(PDG)$	$A^p_{3/2}(hCQM)$	$A^p_{3/2}(PDG)$
	(in units $10^{-3}GeV^{-1/2}$)		(in units $10^{-3}GeV^{-1/2}$)	
P33(1232)	-97	-135 ± 6	-169	-250 ± 8
S31(1620)	30	27 ± 11		
D33(1700)	81	104 ± 5	70	85 ± 2
F35(1905)	-17	26 ± 11	-51	-45 ± 20
F37(1950)	-28	-76 ± 12	-35	-97 ± 10

Neutron photocouplings



hCQM: E. Santopinto, M.G. Phys. Rev. C86, 065202 (2012)
Bonn: A.V. Anisovich et al., EPJ A49, 67 (2013)

- The hCQM seems to provide realistic three-quark wave functions
- The main reason is the presence of the **hypercoulomb** term

Solvable model

$V(x) = -\tau/x + \alpha\, x$ linear term treated as a perturbation

wf mainly concentrated in the low x region

- ➢ energy levels expressed analytically
- ➢ unperturbed wf given by the 1/x term
- ➢ major contribution to the helicity amplitudes

Good results due to semplicity

E. Santopinto, F. Iachello, M.Giannini, Eur. Phys. J. A **1**, 307 (1998)

$$Z = \frac{|A_{1/2}|^2 - |A_{3/2}|^2}{A_{1/2}|^2 + |A_{3/2}|^2}$$



The nucleon elastic form factors



- elastic scattering of polarized electrons on polarized protons

- measurement of polarizations asymmetry gives directly the ratio G^p_E/G^p_M

- discrepancy with Rosenbluth data (?)

- linear and strong decrease

- pointing towards a zero (!)

- latest data seem to confirm the behaviour



Jones et al. [00]
Gayou et al. [02]
Lomon [02]
Gari and Krümpelmann [85]
Iachello [73]
$\mu_p G_E^p/G_M^p$
Q^2 (GeV/c)^2
0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1
10^{-2}
10^{-1}
1
10

RELATIVITY

Various levels

- relativistic kinetic energy
- Lorentz boosts
- Relativistic dynamics
- quark-antiquark pair effects (meson cloud)
- relativistic equations (BS, DS)

Point Form Relativistic Dynamics

Point Form is one of the Relativistic Hamiltonian Dynamics
for a fixed number of particles (Dirac)

Construction of a representation of the Poincaré generators
P_μ (tetramomentum), J_k (angular momenta), K_i (boosts)
obeying the Poincaré group commutation relations
in particular

$$[P_k , K_i] = i\, \delta_{kj}\, H$$

Three forms:
Light (LF), Instant (IF), Point (PF)
Differ in the number and type of (interaction) free generators

Point form: P_μ interaction dependent

J_k and K_i free

Composition of angular momentum states as in the non relativistic case

Mass operator $M = M_0 + M_I$

$$M_0 = \Sigma_i \sqrt{\vec{\mathbf{p}}_i^{\,2} + m^2}$$

$$\Sigma_i \vec{\mathbf{p}}_i = 0$$

$\vec{\mathbf{P}}_i$ undergo the same Wigner rotation -> M_0 is invariant

Similar reasoning for the hyperradius

The eigenstates of the relativistic hCQM are interpreted as eigenstates of the mass operator M

Moving three-quark states are obtained through (interaction free) Lorentz boosts (velocity states)

Calculated values!

- Boosts to initial and final states
- Expansion of current to any order
- Conserved current

G_E^p



G_M^p



G_E^n



G_M^n



De Sanctis, Giannini, Santopintoi, Vassallo Phys. Rev. C76, 062201 (2007)

Further support 2



Ratio between
proton Nachtmann moments &
CQ distribution

Bloom-Gilman duality

Inelastic proton scattering as elastic scattering on CQ

(approximate) scaling function $\longrightarrow$ square of CQ ff

$F(Q^2) = 1/(1+ 1/6\, r_{CQ}^2\, Q^2)$

$r_{CQ} \cong$ **0.2-:0.4 fm**

Ricco ,,et al., PR **D67,** 094004 (2003)





With quark form factors





De Sanctis, Giannini, Santopinto, Vassallo Phys. Rev. C76, 062201 (2007)



De Sanctis, Giannini, Santopinto, Vassallo Phys. Rev. C76, 062201 (2007)

E. Santopinto,A. Vassallo, M. M. Giannini, and M. De Sanctis, Phys. Rev. C 82, 065204 (2010)



E. Santopinto, A. Vassallo, M. M. Giannini, and M. De Sanctis, Phys. Rev. C 82, 065204 (2010)





G_E^p/G_D
$G_M^p/\mu_p G_D$
G_E^n
$G_M^n/\mu_n G_D$
Q^2 (GeV^2)
Diehl05 (GPD)
Eichmann11 (DSE)
Lomon06 (VMD)
Gross08 (GCS)
Santopinto10 (hCQM)



Relativistic hCQM
In Point Form



Y.B. Dong, M.Giannini., E. Santopinto, A. Vassallo,
Few-Body Syst. **55 (2014) 873-876**

please note

- the medium Q^2 behaviour is fairly well reproduced
- there is lack of strength at low Q^2 (outer region) in the e.m. transitions

- emerging picture:

  quark core plus (meson or sea-quark) **cloud**



The Interacting Quark Diquark Model

Interacting qD model

E. Santopinto, PRC72, 022201 (2005)

I part:Construction of the states

▪ Diquark

- **Two correlated quarks in S wave:symm.**

- **Baryon in 1_c color representazion → diquark in bar-3_c (A)**

- **Diquark WF:**



$$6 \otimes 6 = 15 \oplus 21$$

Ψ_D (spin-flavor) symmetric
→ 15 (A) repr. not present

• SU(6)$_{sf}$ representations for baryons



$$\cancel{15 \otimes 6 = 20(A) \oplus 70(MA)}$$



$$21 \otimes 6 = 70(MS) \oplus 56(S)$$

- **Problem of missing resonances**

Scalar & axial-vector diquarks

- **21 $SU(6)_{sf}$ representation**
- **Decomposed in $SU(2)_s$ x $SU(3)_f$**
- **[bar-3,0] & [6,1] representations. Notation: [flavor,spin]**

- **"Good" & "bad" diquarks**
- **According to OGE-calculations, [bar-3,0] is energetically favored**
  [Wilczek, Jaffe]
- **[bar-3,0]: good (scalar) diquark**
- **[6,1]: bad (axial-vector) diquark**

Evidences of diquark correlations

- **Regge behavior of hadrons**

  Baryons arranged in rotational Regge trajectories ($J=\alpha+\alpha' M2$) with the same slope of the mesonic ones.

- **$\Delta I = 1/2$ rule in weak nonleptonic decays**

  Neubert and Stech, Phys. Lett. B **231** (1989) 477; Phys. Rev. D **44** (1991) 775

- **Regularities in parton distribution functions and in spin-dependent structure functions**

  Close and Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **212** (1988) 227

- **Regularities in $\Lambda(1116)$ and $\Lambda(1520)$ fragmentation functions**

  Jaffe, Phys. Rept. **409** (2005) 1 [Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **142** (2005) 343]
  Wilczek, hep-ph/0409168

- **Any interaction that binds π and ρ mesons in the rainbow-ladder approximation of the DSE will produce diquarks**

  Cahill, Roberts and Praschifka, Phys. Rev. D **36** (1987) 2804

- **Indications of diquark confinement**

  Bender, Roberts and Von Smekal, Phys. Lett. B **380** (1996) 7

the Interacting qD model

E. Santopinto, PRC72, 022201 (2005)

- **Hamiltonian**

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{\tau}{r} + \beta r + [B\delta_{S_{12},1} + C\delta_0] +$$

$$+ (-1)^{l+1} 2Ae^{-\alpha r}[(\vec{s}_{12}\cdot\vec{s}_3) + (\vec{t}_{12}\cdot\vec{t}_3) + (\vec{s}_{12}\cdot\vec{s}_3)(\vec{t}_{12}\cdot\vec{t}_3)]$$

- **Non-rel. Kinetic energy + Coulomb + linear confining terms**
- **Splitting between scalar & axial-vector diquarks**
- **Exchange potential**
-

TABLE III. The scalar form factors of Eq. (17) for transitions to final states labeled by the quantum numbers n, l^P, where P is the parity. The initial state is $n = 1, l^P = 0^+$ and $a = \frac{1}{2\tau m}$.

n	l^P	$\langle nl^P \vert U \vert 10^+ \rangle$
1	0^+	$\frac{1}{(1+k^2a^2)^2}$
2	1^-	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\frac{4}{9})^3 \frac{24ka}{(1+\frac{16}{9}k^2a^2)^3}$
2	0^+	$16\sqrt{2}(\frac{4}{9})^3 \frac{(ka)^2}{(1+\frac{16}{9}k^2a^2)^3}$
3	2^+	$-\frac{4}{\sqrt{6}} (\frac{9}{16})^2 \frac{(ka)^2}{(1+\frac{9}{4}k^2a^2)^4}$
3	1^-	$\frac{i\sqrt{2}64ka}{27} (\frac{9}{16})^3 \frac{(1+\frac{27}{4}(ka)^2)}{(1+\frac{9}{4}k^2a^2)^4}$
3	0^+	$\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} (\frac{9}{16})^2 \frac{(1+\frac{27}{4}(ka)^2)(ka)^2}{(1+\frac{9}{4}k^2a^2)^4}$

Rel. Interacting qD model – strange B.

E. S. and J. Ferretti, PRC92, 025202 (2015)

▪ Model

- Model extended to strange sector
- Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= E_0 + \sqrt{\vec{q}^{\,2} + m_1^2} + \sqrt{\vec{q}^{\,2} + m_2^2} + M_{\rm dir}(r) \\ &+ M_{\rm ex}(r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\rm ex}(r) &= (-1)^{L+1}\; e^{-\sigma r} \left[A_S\; \vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 \right. \\ &+ \left. A_F\; \vec{\lambda}_1^f \cdot \vec{\lambda}_2^f \;+ A_I\; \vec{t}_1 \cdot \vec{t}_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$M_{\rm dir}(r) = -\frac{\tau}{r}(1 - e^{-\mu r}) + \beta r.$$

- Gursey-Radicati inspired exchange interaction
- Parameters fitted to strange baryon spectrum

Rel. Interacting qD model – strange B.

E. S. and J. Ferretti, PRC92, 025202 (2015)

- **Parameters**

Parameter	Value (Fit 1)	Value (Fit 2)	Parameter	Value (Fit 1)	Value (Fit 2)
m_n	200 MeV	159 MeV	m_s	550 MeV	213 Mev
$m_{[n,n]}$	600 MeV	607 MeV	$m_{[n,s]}$	900 MeV	856 MeV
$m_{\{n,n\}}$	950 MeV	963 MeV	$m_{\{n,s\}}$	1200 MeV	1216 MeV
$m_{\{s,s\}}$	1580 MeV	1352 MeV	τ	1.20	1.02
μ	75.0 fm^{-1}	28.4 fm^{-1}	β	2.15 fm^{-2}	2.36 fm^{-2}
A_S	350 MeV	-436 MeV	A_F	100 MeV	193 MeV
A_I	250 MeV	791 MeV	σ	2.30 fm^{-1}	2.25 fm^{-1}
E_0	141 MeV	150 MeV	ϵ	0.37	–
D	6.13 fm^2	–	η	11.0 fm^{-1}	–

N spectrum and N(1900)P_{13}

Resonance	Status	$M^{\text{exp.}}$ (MeV)	J^P	L^P	S	s_1	n_r	$M^{\text{calc.}}$ (fit 1) (MeV)
$N(939)\ P_{11}$	****	939	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	939
$N(1440)\ P_{11}$	****	1420–1470	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1511
$N(1520)\ D_{13}$	****	1515–1525	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1537
$N(1535)\ S_{11}$	****	1525–1545	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1537
$N(1650)\ S_{11}$	****	1645–1670	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1625
$N(1675)\ D_{15}$	****	1670–1680	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1746
$N(1680)\ F_{15}$	****	1680–1690	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1799
$N(1700)\ D_{13}$	***	1650–1750	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1625
$N(1710)\ P_{11}$	***	1680–1740	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1776
$N(1720)\ P_{13}$	****	1700–1750	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1648
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1746
Missing	3 missing states		$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1746
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1799
$N(1875)\ D_{13}$	***	1820–1920	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1888
$N(1880)\ P_{11}$	**	1835–1905	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	2	1890
$N(1895)\ S_{11}$	**	1880–1910	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1	1888
$N(1900)\ P_{13}$	***	1875–1935	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	1	1947

E. S. AND FERRETTI, PRC92, 025202 (2015)

Δ spectrum

Resonance	Status	$M^{\text{exp.}}$ (MeV)	J^P	L^P	S	s_1	n_r	$M^{\text{calc.}}$ (fit 1) (MeV)
$\Delta(1232)\ P_{33}$	****	1230–1234	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1247
$\Delta(1600)\ P_{33}$	***	1500–1700	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	1	1689
$\Delta(1620)\ S_{31}$	****	1600–1660	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1830
$\Delta(1700)\ D_{33}$	****	1670–1750	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1830
$\Delta(1750)\ P_{31}$	*	1708–1780	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1489
$\Delta(1900)\ S_{31}$	**	1840–1920	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1910
$\Delta(1905)\ F_{35}$	****	1855–1910	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	2042
$\Delta(1910)\ P_{31}$	****	1860–1920	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1827
$\Delta(1920)\ P_{33}$	***	1900–1970	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	2042
$\Delta(1930)\ D_{35}$	***	1900–2000	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1910
$\Delta(1940)\ D_{33}$	**	1940–2060	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1910
$\Delta(1950)\ F_{37}$	****	1915–1950	$\frac{7}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	2042

No missing states below 2 GeV

Σ and Σ^* spectrum

Resonance	Status	$M^{\text{exp.}}$ (MeV)	J^P	L^P	S	s_1	Q^2q	**F**	$\mathbf{F_1}$	I	t_1	n_r	$M^{\text{calc.}}$ (fit 2) (MeV)
$\Sigma(1193)\ P_{11}$	****	1189—1197	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1211
$\Sigma(1620)\ S_{11}$	**	≈1620	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**8**	**6**	1	1	0	1753
$\Sigma(1660)\ P_{11}$	***	1630–1690	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**8**	**6**	1	1	0	1546
$\Sigma(1670)\ D_{13}$	****	1665–1685	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**8**	**6**	1	1	0	1753
$\Sigma(1750)\ S_{11}$	***	1730–1800	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1868
$\Sigma(1770)\ P_{11}$	*	≈1770	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1668
$\Sigma(1775)\ D_{15}$	****	1770–1780	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**8**	**6**	1	1	0	1753
$\Sigma(1880)\ P_{11}$	**	≈1880	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1801
$\Sigma(1915)\ F_{15}$	****	1900–1935	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	2061
$\Sigma(1940)\ D_{13}$	***	1900–1950	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1868
Missing	1 missing state		$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**8**	**6**	1	1	0	1895
$\Sigma(2000)\ S_{11}$	*	≈2000	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**8**	**6**	1	1	0	1895
$\Sigma^*(1385)\ P_{13}$	****	1382–1388	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**10**	**6**	1	1	0	1334
$\Sigma^*(1840)\ P_{13}$	*	≈1840	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**10**	**6**	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1439
$\Sigma^*(2080)\ P_{13}$	**	≈2080	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,n\}s$	**10**	**6**	1	1	1	1924

Ξ, Ξ^* and Ω spectrum

Resonance	Status	$M^{\text{exp.}}$ (MeV)	J^P	L^P	S	s_1	Q^2q	**F**	$\mathbf{F_1}$	I	t_1	n_r	$M^{\text{calc.}}$ (fit 2) (MeV)
$\Xi(1318)\ P_{11}$	****	1315–1322	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1317
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}s$	**8**	**6**	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1772
$\Xi(1820)\ D_{13}$	***	1818–1828	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1861
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1868
Missing	5 missing states		$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\{s,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1874
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}s$	**8**	**6**	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1971
$\Xi^*(1530)\ P_{13}$	****	1531–1532	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}s$	**10**	**6**	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1552
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{s,s\}n$	**10**	**6**	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	1653
$\Omega(1672)\ P_{03}$	****	1672–1673	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{s,s\}s$	**10**	**6**	0	0	0	1672

Λ and Λ^* spectrum



*** and **** PDG states below 2 GeV

SANTOPINTO AND FERRETTI, PRC92, 025202 (2015)

Λ and Λ^* spectrum

Resonance	Status	$M^{\text{exp.}}$ (MeV)	J^P	L^P	S	s_1	Q^2q	**F**	$\mathbf{F}_1$	I	t_1	n_r	$M^{\text{calc.}}$ (fit 2) (MeV)
$\Lambda(1116)\ P_{01}$	****	1116	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	0	1116
$\Lambda(1600)\ P_{01}$	***	1560–1700	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1518
$\Lambda(1670)\ S_{01}$	****	1660–1680	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	0	1650
$\Lambda(1690)\ D_{03}$	****	1685–1695	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	0	1650
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1732
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1785
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	1	1785
$\Lambda(1800)\ S_{01}$	***	1720–1850	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1732
$\Lambda(1810)\ P_{01}$	***	1750–1850	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	1	1666
$\Lambda(1820)\ F_{05}$	****	1815–1825	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	0	1896
$\Lambda(1830)\ D_{05}$	****	1810–1830	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1785
$\Lambda(1890)\ P_{03}$	****	1850–1910	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1896
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1955
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**8**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1960
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1969
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\{n,s\}n$	**8**	**6**	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1969
$\Lambda^*(1405)\ S_{01}$	****	1402–1410	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	0	1431
$\Lambda^*(1520)\ D_{03}$	****	1519–1521	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	0	1431
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1443
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1443
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	1	1854
Missing	13 missing states		$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,n]s$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	0	1	1854
Missing			$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1928
Missing			$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$[n,s]n$	**1**	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1928

SANTOPINTO AND FERRETTI, PRC92, 025202 (2015)

Relativistic qD Model with Spin-Isospin (SI) transition interaction

- SI transition interaction mixes scalar and axial-vector diquark components
- Motivations:
  1. Improve reproduction of nonstrange baryon spectrum
  2. Introduce axial-vector diquark component in nucleon WF
- Better reproduction of nucleon e.m. form factors expected De Sanctis et al. PRC84, 055201 (2011)
- Other observables can also be computed

Model Hamiltonian

- $$H = E_0 + \sqrt{q^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{q^2 + m_2^2} + M_{dir} + M_{ex} + M_{cont} + M_{tr}$$

- $$M_{tr} = V_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu^2 r^2} (\vec{s}_2 \cdot \vec{S})(\vec{t}_2 \cdot \vec{T})$$

- S and T are spin and isospin transition operators

DE SANCTIS ET AL.,

Model parameters

m_q	$= 140$ MeV	m_S	$= 150$ MeV	m_{AV}	$= 360$ MeV
τ	$= 1.23$	μ	$= 125\ \mathrm{fm}^{-1}$	β	$= 1.57\ \mathrm{fm}^{-2}$
A_S	$= 125$ MeV	A_I	$= 85$ MeV	A_{SI}	$= 350$ MeV
σ	$= 0.60\ \mathrm{fm}^{-1}$	E_0	$= 826$ MeV	D	$= 2.00\ \mathrm{fm}^2$
η	$= 10.0\ \mathrm{fm}^{-1}$	V_0	$= 1450$ MeV	ν	$= 0.35\ \mathrm{fm}^{-1}$

DE SANCTIS ET AL.,

Nonstrange spectrum



DE SANCTIS ET AL.,

Nonstrange spectrum

Resonance	Status	$M^{\text{exp.}}$ (MeV)	J^P	L^P	S	s_1	n_r	$M^{\text{calc.}}$ (MeV)
$N(939)\ P_{11}$	****	939	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	0	939
$N(1440)\ P_{11}$	****	1420 - 1470	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	1	1412
$N(1520)\ D_{13}$	****	1515 - 1525	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	0	1533
$N(1535)\ S_{11}$	****	1525 - 1545	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	0	1533
$N(1650)\ S_{11}$	****	1645 - 1670	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1667
$N(1675)\ D_{15}$	****	1670 - 1680	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1667
$N(1680)\ F_{15}$	****	1680 - 1690	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	0	1694
$N(1700)\ D_{13}$	***	1650 - 1750	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1667
$N(1710)\ P_{11}$	***	1680 - 1740	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	2	1639
$N(1720)\ P_{13}$	****	1700 - 1750	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	0	1694
$N(1875)\ D_{13}$	***	1820 - 1920	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	1	1866
$N(1880)\ P_{11}$	**	1835 - 1905	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	3	1786
$N(1895)\ S_{11}$	**	1880 - 1910	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	1	1866
$N(1900)\ P_{13}$	***	1875 - 1935	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	0	0	1780
missing	1 missing state		$\frac{3}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	1	1990
$N(2000)\ F_{15}$	**	1950 - 2150	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	0,1	1	1990

Resonance	Status	$M^{\text{exp.}}$ (MeV)	J^P	L^P	S	s_1	n_r	$M^{\text{calc.}}$ (MeV)
$\Delta(1232)\ P_{33}$	****	1230 - 1234	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1236
$\Delta(1600)\ P_{33}$	***	1500 - 1700	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	1	1687
$\Delta(1620)\ S_{31}$	****	1600 - 1660	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1600
$\Delta(1700)\ D_{33}$	****	1670 - 1750	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1600
$\Delta(1750)\ P_{31}$	*	1708 - 1780	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	0^+	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	1857
$\Delta(1900)\ S_{31}$	**	1840 - 1920	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1963
$\Delta(1905)\ F_{35}$	****	1855 - 1910	$\frac{5}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1958
$\Delta(1910)\ P_{31}$	****	1860 - 1920	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1958
$\Delta(1920)\ P_{33}$	***	1900 - 1970	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1958
$\Delta(1930)\ D_{35}$	***	1900 - 2000	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	2064
$\Delta(1940)\ D_{33}$	**	1940 - 2060	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1^-	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1963
$\Delta(1950)\ F_{37}$	****	1915 - 1950	$\frac{7}{2}^+$	2^+	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	0	1958

Nucleon Wave Function

- The SI interaction allows scalar and axial-vector diquarks components in nucleon WF with probability:

State	Scalar component	Axial-vector component
N	53%	47%
N(1440)	51%	49%
Δ(1232)	0	100%

- Important also in the calculation of several other observables: e.m. form factors, open-flavor decays, magnetic moments, …

DE SANCTIS ET AL.,

Ratio $\mu_p\, G_E^p/G_M^p$

De Sanctis, Ferretti, Santopinto, Vassallo, Phys. Rev. C ***84****, 055201 (2011)*



Interacting Quark Diquark model , *E. Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C* ***72****, 022201(R) (2005)*


Baryon Masses
Flavor Asymmetry
Electromagnetic Couplings
EXOTIC (non q^3) DEGREES OF FREEDOM
Strange Form Factors
Strong Decays
Proton Spin

Unquenching the quark model & Why Unquenching?

E. .Santopinto,. Bijker PRC 80, 065210 (2009),
PRC 82, 062202 (2010);J. Ferrettii,Santopinto, Bijker
Phys. Rev. C 85, 035204 (2012)

Non strange spectrum



Capstick and Isgur, *Phys. Rev. D34, 2809.*



Bijker, Iachello, Leviatan, Ann. Phys. 236, 69 (1994)



Glozman & Riska, *Phys. Rept. 268, 263 (1996)*



Giannini, Santopinto, Vassallo, *Eur. Phys. J .A12:447*

Many versions of CQMs have been developed

(IK, CI, GBE, U(7), hCQM,Bonn, etc.)

non relativistic and relativistic

While these models display peculiar features,

they share the following main features :

the effective degrees of freedom of 3q and a confining potential

the underling O(3) SU(3) symmetry

All of them are able to give a good description of the 3 and 4 stars spectrum

CQMs: S

Good description of the spectrum and magnetic moments

Predictions of many quantities:

- strong couplins
- photocouplings
- helicity amplitudes
- elastic form factors
- structure functions

Based on the effective degrees of freedom of 3 constituent quarks

Is it a degrees of freedom problem?

$q\bar{q}$ corrections ? important in the outer region



D13 transition amplitudes

U(7) PRC 54, 1935 (1996)

hCQM JPG 24, 753 (1998)

Considering also CQMs for mesons, CQMs able to reproduce the **overall trend of hundred of data**

- … but they show very similar deviations for observables such as
- photocouplings
- helicity amplitudes,

please note

- the medium Q^2 behaviour is fairly well reproduced
- there is lack of strength at low Q^2 (outer region) in the e.m. transitions

- emerging picture:

  quark core plus (meson or sea-quark) **cloud**



There are two possibilities:

phenomenological parametrization

microscopic explicit quark description

Two main approaches

- the physical nucleon N is made of a bare nucleon dressed by a surrounding meson cloud

$$| \tilde{N} \rangle \;=\; \Psi^{N}_{(3q)} | N(qqq) \rangle + \sum_{B,M} \Psi^{(BM)}_{(3q)(q\bar{q})} | B(qqq) M(q\bar{q}) \rangle + \cdots$$

Problems of inconsistency

- Introducing higher Fock components

$$| \Psi \rangle \;=\; \Psi_{3q} | qqq \rangle + \Psi_{3q\,q\bar{q}} | 3q\, q\bar{q} \rangle$$

Consistency ok
But: how many components?

Necessity of unquenching the quark model

Exotic Degrees of Freedom

- Quark-antiquark pairs: pentaquarks, meson cloud models (Thomas, Speth, Kaiser, Weise, Oset, Brodsky, Ma, Isgur, …)
- Higher-Fock components (Riska, Zou, …)

$$\psi = \psi(q^3) + \alpha\, \psi(q^3 - q\bar{q})$$

Extend the CQM to include
the effects of quark-antiquark pairs
in a general and consistent way



Problems

1) find a quark pair creation mechanism QCD inspired

2) implementation of this mechanism at the quark level but in such a way to

do not destroy the good CQMs results

Unquenched Quark Model



Strange quark-antiquark pairs in the proton with h.o. wave functions

Tornqvist & Zenczykowski (1984)
Geiger & Isgur, PRD 55, 299 (1997)
Isgur, NPA 623, 37 (1997)

- Pair-creation operator with ${}^3P_0$ quantum numbers of vacuum
- Important: sum over a large tower of intermediate states to preserve the phenomenological success of CQM

Geiger & Isgur, PRD 55, 299 (1997)

It would be desirable to devise tests of the mechanisms underlying the delicate cancellations which conspire to hide the effects of the sea in the picture presented here. It also seems very worthwhile to extend this calculation to $u\bar{u}$ and $d\bar{d}$ loops. Such an extension could reveal the origin of the observed violations [38] of the Gottfried sum rule [39] and also complete our understanding of the origin of the spin crisis. From our previous calculations [4], the effects of ‘‘un-

Extensions

Bijker & Santopinto,
PRC 80, 065210 (2009)

- Any initial baryon or baryon resonance
- Any flavor of the quark-antiquark pair
- Any model of baryons and mesons

Formalism

$$|\,\psi_A\rangle = \mathcal{N}\left\{|\,A\rangle + \sum_{BClJ}\int d\vec{K}\,k^2 dk\;|\,BC\vec{K}\,k\,lJ\rangle\,\frac{\langle BC\vec{K}\,k\,lJ\,|\,T^\dagger\,|\,A\rangle}{M_A - E_B - E_C}\right\}$$

Three-quark configuration
SU(3) flavor symmetry

Five-quark component
Isospin symmetry

Pair-creation operator: $T^\dagger = T^\dagger({}^3P_0)$

L=S=1, J=0, color singlet, flavor singlet

Unquenched Quark Model

- Harmonic oscillator quark model
- Sum over intermediate meson-baryon states includes for each oscillator shell all possible spin-flavor states
- Oscillator size parameters taken for baryons and mesons taken from literature (Capstick, Isgur, Karl)
- Smearing of the pair-creation vertex (Geiger, Isgur)
- Strength of $^3P_0$ coupling taken from literature on strong decays of baryons (Capstick, Roberts)

- No attempt to optimize the parameters

Unquenching the quark model

Mesons P. Geiger, N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D41, 1595 (1990)
D44, 799 (1991)



Pair-creation operator with 3P0 quantum number

Note:

- sum over complete set of intermediate states necessary for preserving the OZI rule
- linear interaction is preserved after renormalization of the string constant

Unquenched Quark Model



Strange quark-antiquark pairs in the proton with h.o. wave functions

Tornqvist & Zenczykowski (1984)
Geiger & Isgur, PRD 55, 299 (1997)
Isgur, NPA 623, 37 (1997)

- Pair-creation operator with $^3P_0$ quantum numbers of vacuum

The good magnetic moment results of the CQM are preserved by the UCQM

Bijker, Santopinto,Phys.Rev.C80:065210,2009.



FIG. 3. (Color online) Magnetic moments of octet baryons: experimental values from the Particle Data Group [34] (circles), CQM (squares), and unquenched quark model (triangles).

Flavor Asymmetry

Gottfried sum rule

$$S_G = \int_0^1 dx \frac{F_{2p}(x) - F_{2n}(x)}{x} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}\int_0^1 dx \left[\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x)\right]$$

$$S_G \neq \frac{1}{3} \Rightarrow N_{\bar{d}} \neq N_{\bar{u}}$$

$$S_G = 0.2281 \pm 0.0065$$

$$\int_0^1 dx \left[\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x)\right] = 0.16 \pm 0.01$$

Proton Flavor asymmetry

Santopinto, Bijker, PRC 82,062202(R) (2010)



Flavor asymmetry of the octect baryons in the UCQM

Santopinto, Bijker, PRC 82,062202(R) (2010)



Figure 1. Flavor asymmetry of octet baryons

Flavor asymmetry
Nonperturbative QCD

Pauli blocking (Field & Feynman, 1977) too small
Pion dressing of the nucleon (Thomas et al., 1983)
Meson cloud models

Flavor asymmetries of octect baryons

Santopinto, Bijker, PRC 82,062202(R) (2010)

TABLE III. Relative flavor asymmetries of octet baryons.

Model	$\mathcal{A}(\Sigma^+)/\mathcal{A}(p)$	$\mathcal{A}(\Xi^0)/\mathcal{A}(p)$	Ref.
Unquenched CQM	0.833	−0.005	present
Chiral QM	2	1	Eichen
Balance model	3.083	2.075	Y.-J Zhang
Octet couplings	0.353	−0.647	Alberg

$$\Sigma^{\pm} p \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- + X \text{ (e.g., at CERN).}$$

3. Proton Spin Crisis

1980's	1990's	2000's
		
Naive parton model 3 valence quarks	QCD: contributions from sea quarks and gluons	.. and orbital angular momentum

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta u + \Delta d)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\underbrace{(\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s)}_{\Delta\Sigma} + \Delta G + \Delta L$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= 0.842 \\ \Delta d &= -0.427 \\ \Delta s &= -0.085 \end{aligned}\right\} \Delta\Sigma = 0.330 \pm 0.039$$

HERMES, PRD 75, 012007 (2007)
COMPASS, PLB 647, 8 (2007)

Genova 2012

Proton Spin



- COMPASS@CERN: Gluon contribution is small (sign undetermined)
- Unquenched quark model

Ageev et al., PLB 633, 25 (2006)
Platchkov, NPA 790, 58 (2007)

		CQM	Unquenched QM		
			Valence	Sea	Total
p	$\Delta\Sigma$	1	0.378	0.298	0.676
	$2\Delta L$	0	0.000	0.324	0.324
	$2\Delta J$	1	0.378	0.622	1.000

- More than half of the proton spin from the sea!
- Orbital angular momentum

Suggested by Myhrer & Thomas, 2008, but not explicitly calculated

4. Strangeness in the Proton

- The strange (anti)quarks come uniquely from the sea: there is no contamination from up or down valence quarks
- The strangeness distribution is a very sensitive probe of the nucleon's properties
- Flavor content of form factors
- New data from Parity Violating Electron Scattering experiments: SAMPLE, HAPPEX, PVA4 and G0 Collaborations



"There is no excellent beauty that hath not some strangeness in the proportion"
(Francis Bacon, 1561-1626)

Quark Form Factors

- Charge symmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{u,p} &= G^{d,n} \equiv G^{u} \\
G^{d,p} &= G^{u,n} \equiv G^{d} \\
G^{s,p} &= G^{s,n} \equiv G^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Quark form factors

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{u} &= \left(3 - 4\sin^2\Theta_W\right) G^{\gamma,p} - G^{Z,p} \\
G^{d} &= \left(2 - 4\sin^2\Theta_W\right) G^{\gamma,p} + G^{\gamma,n} - G^{Z,p} \\
G^{s} &= \left(1 - 4\sin^2\Theta_W\right) G^{\gamma,p} - G^{\gamma,n} - G^{Z,p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Kaplan & Manohar, NPB 310, 527 (1988)
Musolf et al, Phys. Rep. 239, 1 (1994)

Static Properties

$G_E(0) = e$	Electric charge
$G_M(0) = \mu$	Magnetic moment
$\left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_E = -6 \left. \frac{dG_E}{dQ^2} \right\|_{Q^2=0}$	Charge radius
$\left\langle r^2 \right\rangle_M = -\frac{6}{\mu} \left. \frac{dG_M}{dQ^2} \right\|_{Q^2=0}$	Magnetic radius

Strange Magnetic Moment

$$\vec{\mu}_s = \sum_i \mu_{i,s} \left[2\vec{s}(q_i) + \vec{\ell}(q_i) - 2\vec{s}(\bar{q}_i) - \vec{\ell}(\bar{q}_i) \right]$$



Jacopo Ferretti, Ph.D. Thesis, 2011
Bijker, Ferretti, Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C **85, 035204 (2012)**

Strange Radius

$$R_s^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{5} e_{i,s} \left(\vec{r}_i - \vec{R}_{CM} \right)^2$$



Jacopo Ferretti, Ph.D. Thesis, 2011

Bijker, Ferretti, Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C **85, 035204 (2012)**

Strange Proton



- Strange radius and magnetic moment of the proton
- Theory
- Lattice QCD
- Global analysis PVES
- Unquenched QM

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_s &= -6 \cdot 10^{-4}\,(\mu_N) \\ \langle r^2 \rangle_s &= -4 \cdot 10^{-3}\,(\mathrm{fm}^2) \end{aligned}$$



Jacopo Ferretti, Ph.D. Thesis, 2011
Bijker, Ferretti, Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C **85, 035204 (2012)**

Unquenching the quark model
for the MESONS & Why Unquenching?

Santopinto, Galatà, Ferretti, Vassallo

.

UQM: Meson Self Energies & couple channels

- Hamiltonian: $$H = H_0 + V$$
- H_0 act only in the bare meson space and it is chosen the Godfray and Isgur model
- V couples |A> to the continuum |BC>
- Dispersive equation $$\Sigma(E_a) = \sum_{BC} \int_0^\infty q^2 dq \, \frac{|V_{a,bc}(q)|^2}{E_a - E_{bc}}$$
- from non-relativistic Schrödinger equation
- Bare energy E_a (H_0 eigenvalue) satisfies: $$M_a = E_a + \Sigma(E_a)$$
- M_a = physical mass of meson A
- $\Sigma(E_a)$ = self energy of meson A

UQM: Meson Self Energies -- UQM I

- Coupling $V_{a,bc}(q)$ in $\Sigma(E_a)$ calculated as:

Sum over a complete set of accesibl $SU_f(5)\otimes SU_{spin}(2)$

ground state (1S) mesons

Coupling calculated in the $^3P_0$ model

$$V_{a,bc}(q) = \sum_{\ell J} \langle BC\vec{q}\ell J | T^\dagger | A \rangle$$

- Two possible diagrams contribute:



- Self energy in the UQM:

$$\Sigma(E_a) = \sum_{BC\ell J} \int_0^\infty q^2 dq \frac{\left| \langle BC\vec{q}\ell J | T^\dagger | A \rangle \right|^2}{E_a - E_b - E_c}$$

Godrey and Isgur model as bare mass

- Bare energies E_a calculated in the relativized G.I.Model for mesons
- Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sqrt{q^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{q^2 + m_2^2} + V_{\rm conf} + V_{\rm hyp} + V_{\rm so}$$

- Confining potential:

$$V_{\rm conf} = -\left(\frac{3}{4}c + \frac{3}{4}br - \frac{\alpha_s(r)}{r}\right)\vec{F}_1 \cdot \vec{F}_2$$

- Hyperfine interaction:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\rm hyp} &= -\frac{\alpha_s(r)}{m_1 m_2}\left[\frac{8\pi}{3}\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2\, \delta^3(\vec{r}) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{r^3}\left(\frac{3\, \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{r}\, \vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{r}}{r^2} - \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2\right)\right] \vec{F}_i \cdot \vec{F}_j \end{aligned}$$

- Spin-orb. :

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\rm so,cm} &= -\frac{\alpha_s(r)}{r^3}\left(\frac{1}{m_i} + \frac{1}{m_j}\right) \\ &\left(\frac{\vec{S}_i}{m_i} + \frac{\vec{S}_j}{m_j}\right) \cdot \vec{L}\;\; \vec{F}_i \cdot \vec{F}_j \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{\rm so,tp} = -\frac{1}{2r}\frac{\partial H_{ij}^{conf}}{\partial r}\left(\frac{\vec{S}_i}{m_i^2} + \frac{\vec{S}_j}{m_j^2}\right) \cdot \vec{L}$$

UQM or couple channel Quark Model

- Parameters of the relativized QM fitted to

  $$M_a = E_a + \Sigma(E_a)$$

- Recursive fitting procedure

- M_a = calculated physical masses of q bar-q mesons $\rightarrow$ reproduce experimental spectrum [PDG]

- Intrinsic error of QM/UQM calculations: 30-50 MeV

UQM: charmonium with self-energy corr.

- Parameters of the UQM ($^3P_0$ vertices)

Parameter	Value
γ_0	0.510
α	0.500 GeV
r_q	0.335 fm
m_n	0.330 GeV
m_s	0.550 GeV
m_c	1.50 GeV

-
-
- fitted to:

State	DD	DD^*	D^*D^*	D_sD_s	$D_sD_s^*$	$D_s^*D_s^*$	Total	Exp.
$\eta_c(3^1S_0)$	–	38.8	52.3	–	–	–	91.1	–
$\Psi(4040)(3^3S_1)$	0.2	37.2	39.6	3.3	–	–	80.3	80 ± 10
$h_c(2^1P_1)$	–	64.6	–	–	–	–	64.6	–
$\chi_{c0}(2^3P_0)$	97.7	–	–	–	–	–	97.7	–
$\chi_{c2}(2^3P_2)$	27.2	9.8	–	–	–	–	37.0	–
$\Psi(3770)(1^3D_1)$	27.7	–	–	–	–	–	27.7	27.2 ± 1.0
$c\bar{c}(1^3D_3)$	1.7	–	–	–	–	–	1.7	–
$c\bar{c}(2^1D_2)$	–	62.7	46.4	–	8.8	–	117.9	–
$\Psi(4160)(2^3D_1)$	11.2	0.4	39.4	2.1	5.6	–	58.7	103 ± 8
$c\bar{c}(2^3D_2)$	–	43.5	49.3	–	11.3	–	104.1	–
$c\bar{c}(2^3D_3)$	17.2	58.3	48.1	3.6	2.6	–	129.8	–

UQM: charmonium spectrum with self-energy corr.

Ferretti, Galata' and Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C 88, 015207 (2013)

State	J^{PC}	$D\bar{D}$	$\bar{D}D^*$ $D\bar{D}^*$	$\bar{D}^*D^*$	$D_s\bar{D}_s$	$D_s\bar{D}_s^*$ $\bar{D}_sD_s^*$	$D_s^*\bar{D}_s^*$	$\eta_c\eta_c$	η_cJ/Ψ	$J/\Psi J/\Psi$	$\Sigma(E_a)$	E_a	M_a	$M_{exp.}$
$\eta_c(1^1S_0)$	0^{-+}	–	-34	-31	–	-8	-8	–	–	-2	-83	3062	2979	2980
$J/\Psi(1^3S_1)$	1^{--}	-8	-27	-41	-2	-6	-10	–	-2	–	-96	3233	3137	3097
$\eta_c(2^1S_0)$	0^{-+}	–	-52	-41	–	-9	-8	–	–	-1	-111	3699	3588	3637
$\Psi(2^3S_1)$	1^{--}	-18	-42	-54	-2	-7	-10	–	-1	–	-134	3774	3640	3686
$h_c(1^1P_1)$	1^{+-}	–	-59	-48	–	-11	-10	–	-2	–	-130	3631	3501	3525
$\chi_{c0}(1^3P_0)$	0^{++}	-31	–	-72	-4	–	-15	0	–	-3	-125	3555	3430	3415
$\chi_{c1}(1^3P_1)$	1^{++}	–	-54	-53	–	-9	-11	–	–	-2	-129	3623	3494	3511
$\chi_{c2}(1^3P_2)$	2^{++}	-17	-40	-57	-3	-8	-10	0	–	-2	-137	3664	3527	3556
$h_c(2^1P_1)$	1^{+-}	–	-55	-76	–	-12	-8	–	-1	–	-152	4029	3877	–
$\chi_{c0}(2^3P_0)$	0^{++}	-23	–	-86	-1	–	-13	0	–	-1	-124	3987	3863	–
$\chi_{c1}(2^3P_1)$	1^{++}	–	-30	-66	–	-11	-9	–	–	-1	-117	4025	3908	3872
$\chi_{c2}(2^3P_2)$	2^{++}	-2	-42	-54	-4	-8	-10	0	–	-1	-121	4053	3932	3927
$c\bar{c}(1^1D_2)$	2^{-+}	–	-99	-62	–	-12	-10	–						
$\Psi(3770)(1^3D_1)$	1^{--}	-11	-40	-84	-4	-2	-16	–						
$c\bar{c}(1^3D_2)$	2^{--}	–	-106	-61	–	-11	-11	–						
$c\bar{c}(1^3D_3)$	3^{--}	-25	-49	-88	-4	-8	-10	–						

M [X(3872); UQM] = 3908 MeV



UQM: charmonium with self-energy corr.

Ferretti, Galata' and Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C 88

- Experimental mass: 3871.68 ± 0.17 MeV [PDG]
- Several predictions for X(3872)'s mass. Here: c bar-c + continuum effects

$\chi_{c1}(2^3P_1)$'s mass (MeV)	Reference
3908	This paper
4007.5	[2]
3990	[3]
3920.5	[4]
3896	[5]

- [1] Ferretti, Galata' and Santopinto, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 015207 (2013);
- [2] Eichten et al., Phys. Rev. D 69,(2004)
- [3] Kalashnikova, Phys. Rev. D 72, 034010 (2005)
- [4] Eichten et al., Phys. Rev. D 73, 014014 (2008)
- [5] Pennington and Wilson, Phys. Rev. D 76, 077502 (2007)

Interpretation of the X(3872) as a charmonium state plus an extra component due to the coupling to the meson-meson continuum

Ferretti, Galatà, Santopinto, **Phys.Rev. C88 (2013) 1, 015207**

- UCQM results used to study the problem of the **X(3872)** mass, meson with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$, 2^3P_1 quantum numbers
- Experimental mass: 3871.68 ± 0.17 MeV [PDG]
- X(3872) very close to D bar-D* decay threshold
- Possible importance of continuum coupling effects?
- Several interpretations: pure c bar-c
- D bar-D* molecule
- tetraquark
- c bar-c + continuum effects

nessary to study strong and radiative decays to uderstand the situation

Radiative decays

Ferretti,Galatà,Santopinto,Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 5, 054010

Transition	E_γ [MeV]	$\Gamma_{c\bar{c}}$ [KeV] present paper	$\Gamma_{D\bar{D}^*}$ [KeV] Ref. [7]	$\Gamma_{D\bar{D}^*}$ [KeV] Ref. [9]	$\Gamma_{D\bar{D}^*}$ [KeV] Ref. [59]	$\Gamma_{c\bar{c}+D\bar{D}^*}$ [KeV] Ref. [60]	$\Gamma_{exp.}$ [KeV] PDG [43]
$X(3872) \to J/\Psi\gamma$	697	11	8	$64-190$	$125-251$	$2-17$	≈ 7
$X(3872) \to \Psi(2S)\gamma$	181	70	0.03			$7-59$	≈ 36
$X(3872) \to \Psi(3770)\gamma$	101	4.0	0				
$X(3872) \to \Psi_2(1^3D_2)\gamma$	34	0.35	0				

[7] Swanson: molecular interpretation
[9] Oset: moleacular interpretation
[59]-[60] Faessler : molecular ; ccbar +molecular

The Molecular model does not predict radiative decays into $\Psi(3770)$ and $\Psi_2(1^3D_2)$-→ Possible way to distinguish between the two interpretations

Quasi two-body decay $X(3872) \to D^0(\bar{D}^0\pi^0)_{\bar{D}^{0*}}$

Ferretti,Galatà,Santopinto,Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 5, 054010

$$\Gamma_{\bar{D}^{0*}} < 2.1 \text{ MeV} \qquad \Gamma_{\bar{D}^{0*}} = 0.1 \quad \text{MeV}$$

$$\Gamma_{X(3872)\to D(\bar{D}\pi)_{\bar{D}^*}} = 0.50 - 0.62 \text{ MeV} \,, \quad M_{X(3872)} = 3871.85 \text{ MeV}$$

$$\Gamma_{X(3872)\to D(\bar{D}\pi)_{\bar{D}^*}} = 0.54 - 0.75 \text{ MeV} \,, \quad M_{X(3872)} = 3871.95 \text{ MeV}$$

Experimental results:

$\Gamma_{X(3872)\to D^0\bar{D}^{0*}} = 3.9^{+2.8+0.2}_{-1.4-1.1}$ MeV PDG Aushev et al. [Belle Coll.], Phys. Rev. D **81**, 031103 (2010)

$\Gamma_{X(3872)\to D^0\bar{D}^{0*}} = 3.0^{+1.9}_{-1.4} \pm 0.9$ MeV PDG Aubert et al. [BABAR Coll.], Phys. Rev. D 77011102(2008)

,

- **Prompt production from CDF collaboration in high-energy hadron collisions incompatible with a molecular interpretation**
- meson-meson molecule: large (a few fm) and fragile
- See: Bignamini et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 162001 (2009); Bauer, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20**, 3765 (2005)

Bottomonium spectrum
(in a couple channel calculations)
Ferretti, Santopintio, Phys.Rev. D90, 094022 (2014)

- Parameters of the UQM ($^3P_0$ vertices)

Parameter	Value
γ_0	0.732
α	0.500 GeV
r_q	0.335 fm
m_n	0.330 GeV
m_s	0.550 GeV
m_c	1.50 GeV
m_b	4.70 GeV

- Pair-creation strength γ_0 fitted to:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\Upsilon(4S)\to B\bar{B}} &= 2\Phi_{A\to BC}\left|\langle BC\vec{q}_0\,\ell J|\,T^\dagger\,|A\rangle\right|^2 \\ &= 2\Phi_{\Upsilon(4S)\to B\bar{B}} \\ &\quad \left|\langle B\bar{B}\vec{q}_0\,11|\,T^\dagger\,|\Upsilon(4S)\rangle\right|^2 \\ &= 21\text{ MeV},\end{aligned}$$

Bottomonium Strong Decays

Ferretti, Santopinto, Phys.Rev. D90 094022 (2014)

- Two-body strong decays. Results:

State	Mass [MeV]	J^{PC}	$B\bar{B}$	$B\bar{B}^*$, $\bar{B}B^*$	$B^*\bar{B}^*$	$B_s\bar{B}_s$	$B_s\bar{B}_s^*$, $\bar{B}_sB_s^*$	$B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*$
$\Upsilon(4^3S_1)$	10.595	1^{--}	21	–	–	–	–	–
	$10579.4 \pm 1.2^\dagger$							
$\chi_{b2}(2^3F_2)$	10585	2^{++}	34	–	–	–	–	–
$\Upsilon(3^3D_1)$	10661	1^{--}	23	4	15	–	–	–
$\Upsilon_2(3^3D_2)$	10667	2^{--}	–	37	30	–	–	–
$\Upsilon_2(3^1D_2)$	10668	2^{-+}	–	55	57	–	–	–
$\Upsilon_3(3^3D_3)$	10673	3^{--}	15	56	113	–	–	–
$\chi_{b0}(4^3P_0)$	10726	0^{++}	26	–	24	–	–	–
$\Upsilon_3(2^3G_3)$	10727	3^{--}	3	43	39	–	–	–
$\chi_{b1}(4^3P_1)$	10740	1^{++}	–	20	1	–	–	–
$h_b(4^1P_1)$	10744	1^{+-}	–	33	5	–	–	–
$\chi_{b2}(4^3P_2)$	10751	2^{++}	10	28	5	1	–	–
$\chi_{b2}(3^3F_2)$	10800	2^{++}	5	26	53	2	2	–
$\Upsilon_3(3^1F_3)$	10803	3^{+-}	–	28	46	–	3	–
$\Upsilon(10860)$	$10876 \pm 11^\dagger$	1^{--}	1	21	45	0	3	1
$\Upsilon_2(4^3D_2)$	10876	2^{--}	–	28	36	–	4	4
$\Upsilon_2(4^1D_2)$	10877	2^{-+}	–	22	37	–	4	3
$\Upsilon_3(4^3D_3)$	10881	3^{--}	1	4	49	0	1	2
$\Upsilon_3(3^3G_3)$	10926	3^{--}	7	0	13	2	0	5
$\Upsilon(11020)$	$11019 \pm 8^\dagger$	1^{--}	0	8	26	0	0	2

Bottomonium spectrum (in couple channel calculations)

Ferretti, Santopintio, Phys.Rev. D90, 094022 (2014)

State	J^{PC}	BB	$B B^*$ $\bar{B} B^*$	$B^* B^*$	$B_s B_s$	$B_s B_s^*$ $\bar{B}_s B_s^*$	$B_s^* B_s^*$	$B_c B_c$	$B_c B_c^*$ $\bar{B}_c B_c^*$	$B_c^* B_c^*$	$\eta_b \eta_b$	$\eta_b \Upsilon$	$\Upsilon\Upsilon$	$\Sigma(E_a)$	E_a	M_a	$M_{exp.}$
$\eta_b(1^1S_0)$	0^{-+}	–	-26	-26	–	-5	-5	–	-1	-1	–	–	0	-64	9455	9391	9391
$\Upsilon(1^3S_1)$	1^{--}	-5	-19	-32	-1	-4	-7	0	0	-1	–	0	–	-69	9558	9489	9460
$\eta_b(2^1S_0)$	0^{-+}	–	-43	-41	–	-8	-7	–	-1	-1	–	–	0	-101	10081	9980	9999
$\Upsilon(2^3S_1)$	1^{--}	-8	-31	-51	-2	-6	-9	0	0	-1	–	0	–	-108	10130	10022	10023
$\eta_b(3^1S_0)$	0^{-+}	–	-59	-52	–	-8	-8	–	-1	-1	–	–	0	-129	10467	10338	–
$\Upsilon(3^3S_1)$	1^{--}	-14	-45	-68	-2	-6	-10	0	0	-1	–	0	–	-146	10504	10358	10355
$h_b(1^1P_1)$	1^{+-}	–	-49	-47	–	-9	-8	–	-1	-1	–	0	–	-115	10000	9885	9899
$\chi_{b0}(1^3P_0)$	0^{++}	-22	–	-69	-3	–	-13	0	–	-1	0	–	0	-108	9957	9849	9859
$\chi_{b1}(1^3P_1)$	1^{++}	–	-46	-49	–	-8	-9	–	-1	-1	–	–	0	-114	9993	9879	9893
$\chi_{b2}(1^3P_2)$	2^{++}	-11	-32	-55	-2	-6	-9	0	-1	-1	0	–	0	-117	10017	9900	9912
$h_b(2^1P_1)$	1^{+-}	–	-66	-59	–	-10	-9	–	-1	-1	–	0	–	-146	10393	10247	10260
$\chi_{b0}(2^3P_0)$	0^{++}	-33	–	-85	-4	–	-14	0	–	-1	0	–	0	-137	10363	10226	10233
$\chi_{b1}(2^3P_1)$	1^{++}	–	-63	-60	–	-9	-10	–	-1	-1	–	–	0	-144	10388	10244	10255
$\chi_{b2}(2^3P_2)$	2^{++}	-16	-42	-72	-2	-6	-10	0	0	-1	0	–	0	-149	10406	10257	10269
$h_b(3^1P_1)$	1^{+-}	–	-18	-73	–	-11	-10	–	-1	-1	–	0	–	-114	10705	10591	–
$\chi_{b0}(3^3P_0)$	0^{++}	-4	–	-160	-6	–	-15	0	–	-1	0	–	0	-186	10681	10495	–
$\chi_{b1}(3^3P_1)$	1^{++}	–	-25	-74	–	-11	-10	–	0	-1	–	–	0	-121	10701	10580	–
$\chi_{b2}(3^3P_2)$	2^{++}	-19	-16	-79	-3	-8	-12	0	0	-1	0	–	0	-138	10716	10578	–
$\Upsilon_2(1^1D_2)$	2^{-+}	–	-72	-66	–	-11	-10	–	-1	-1	–	–	0	-161	10283	10122	–
$\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$	1^{--}	-24	-22	-90	-3	-3	-16	0	0	-1	–	0	–	-159	10271	10112	–
$\Upsilon_2(1^3D_2)$	2^{--}	–	-70	-68	–	-10	-11	–	-1	-1	–	0	–	-161	10282	10121	10164
$\Upsilon_3(1^3D_3)$	3^{--}	-18	-43	-78	-3	-8	-11	0	-1	-1	–	0	–	-163	10290	10127	–

Bottomonium

Ferretti, Santopintio, Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 9, 094022

- Results:



Couple Channels corrections to Bottomonium , the $\chi_b(3P)$ system

Ferretti, Santopintio, Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 9, 094022

- Results used to study some properties of the $\chi_b(3P)$ system, meson multiplet with N=3, L=1 quantum numbers
- $\chi_b(3P)$ states close to first open bottom decay thresholds
- Possible importance of continuum coupling effects?
- Pure c bar-c and c bar-c + continuum effects interpretations
- Necessary to study decays (strong, e.m., hadronic, ...) to confirm one interpretation
-

Couple Channels corrections to Bottomonium , the $\chi_b(3P)$ system

Ferretti, Santopintio, Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 9, 094022

- Some experimental results for the mass barycenter of the system:
- $M[\chi_b(3P)] = 10.530 \pm 0.005$ (stat.) ± 0.009 (syst.) GeV
- Aad et al. [ATLAS Coll.], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 152001 (2012)
- $M[\chi_b(3P)] = 10.551 \pm 0.014$ (stat.) ± 0.017 (syst.) GeV
- Abazov et al. [D0 Coll.], Phys. Rev. D 86, 031103 (2012)
- Mass barycenter in the UQM:



Main points

- Unquenching quark model:we have constructed the formalism in an explicit way, also thanks to group theory tecniques. Now, it can be applied to any quark model.
- We think we have maked up the problems of quark models adding the coupling with the continuum, thus opening the possibilty of many, many applications

- Future: application to open problems in hadron structure and spectroscopy : helicity amplitudes, strong decays,and so on.

Green curves
H.O.

r_p 0.5 fm

r_p 0.86 fm



Blue curves hCQM r_p 0.5 fm

Effective Degrees of Freedom in Baryon Spectroscopy 1099



Fig. 1 The calculated masses (*black lines*) from Refs. [2,6] are compared to three-/four-star resonances (*dark boxes*) and one-/two-star resonances (*pale boxes*) from PDG [38]. We include theoretical predictions up to an energy of 2 GeV



Fig. 2 The hypercentral QM results (*black lines*) of Refs. [40,41] are compared to three-/four-star resonances (*dark boxes*) and one-/two-star resonances (*pale boxes*) from PDG [38]