Cosmic rays "Many Knees" Problems for Space/ Upper Atmospere Born Experiments Solutions



Mikhail Panasyuk

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics of Lomonosov Moscow State University

!4th Lomonosov Conference on Elementary Particle Physics August, 19, 2009, Moscow "Knees" in the CR energy spectra means "appearance of sharp irregularities" (bumps, cutoffs) in a more or less "smooth" shape of CR spectra, observed in experiment

Cosmic rays : nuclei



"Knees" in the CR energy spectra



CR astrofisics main probleems

-Sources ? -Accelerators?

The First Knee

Nuclei

Cosmic rays : nuclei



«The G.Khristiansen astrophysical knee»



Standard Model of Cosmic Ray Acceleration

E_{max} ~BLZ $\approx 10^{14}$ Z eV

SN 1987

Accelerated particles

Diffusive shock acceleration

Shock wave

Fermi 1949, Krymsky 1977, Bell 1978,....

CR sourses by HESS observations





sources ~ 32: 11 - metagalaxy (AGN) 6 - known SNR 6 - Pulsar's wind 1 - microkquazar

1 – binary system

Power low spectra: -1.8 - -2.6

Strong magnetic fields in the SN remnants

Völk et al. magnetic field amplification in Tycho and other shell-type SNRs

B ~ 300 μ G, for Tycho's SNR

consistent with synchrotron spectrum from acceleration theory

Similar amplification in all other SNRs where such data are available: Cas A, SN 1006, Tycho, RCW 86, Kepler, RX J1713.7-3946, Vela Jr



diffusive shock acceleration of electrons, including synchrotron losses gives observed scale

very strong magnetic field in young SNRs is indirect but strong evidence of proton acceleration

Standard Model of Cosmic Ray Acceleration

Accelerated particles

$E_{max} \sim BLZ \approx 10^{17} Z eV$

SN 1987

Diffusive shock acceleration

Shock wave

Fermi 1949, Krymsky 1977, Bell 1978,....

Models of cosmic rays propogation in the Galaxy

Leaky Box Model

Cosmic rays confined to a box with leakage at the boundary.

• Within the box, cosmic only interact with interstellar gas

Halo Diffusion Model

• Cosmic rays diffuse through magnetic scattering centers in the Galaxy

• The densities of scattering centers and gas are highest in the Galactic disk but extend into a halo above and below the disk

• Cosmic rays interact with the gas in the Galaxy and escape by diffusion

All of these models are rigidity dependent

CR Nuclei Propogation in the Galaxy: Interection with a Matter

Matter traversed by protons heavy nuclei → E~Z Fraction of surviving nuclei QGSJET



Hörandel, Kalmykov, Timokhin, 2006



Chemical composition around "the knee"

have to be changed because of consequences

both acceleration model and propagation one:

Experiments below the knee

(10¹² – 10¹⁵ eV/particle)

- Proton (4 satellites) 60' SINP/Russia
- CRN (Space station) 80', US
- Mubee (balloon) 80' SINP/ Russia
- SOKOL (2 satellites) -80' SINP/ Russia
- TIC (balloon) 1994, SINP/ Russia
- JACEE (balloons) 90', US, Japan.....
- RUNJOB (balloons) 80' -90', SINP/Russia, Japan
- ATIC (balloon) 2001, 2004, 2008 US, SINP/Russia,...
- TRACER (balloon) 2003, 2006 US
- BESS (balloon) 2004 US, Germany, Japan,...
- CREAM (balloon) 2005, 2008 US, Korea....

ATIC experiment (2000 – 2007)

p,Z - up to tens TeV e - up to one TeV





Antarctica, McMurdo

The ATIC Collaboration



J.H. Adams², H.S. Ahn³, G.L. Bashindzhagyan⁴, K.E. Batkov⁴, J. Chang^{6,7}, M. Christl², A.R. Fazely⁵, O. Ganel³ R.M. Gunasingha⁵, T.G. Guzik¹, J. Isbert¹, K.C. Kim³, E.N. Kouznetsov⁴, M.I. Panasyuk⁴, A.D. Panov⁴, W.K.H. Schmidt⁶, E.S. Seo³, N.V. Sokolskaya⁴, J. Watts, J.P. Wefel¹, J. Wu³, V.I. Zatsepin⁴

- 1. Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA
- 2. Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL, USA
- 3. University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA
- 4. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Russia
- 5. Southern University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA
- 6. Max Plank Institute für Space Physics, Lindau, Germany
- 7. Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

ATIC

Need an instrument to measure:

 \Rightarrow Element type, Particle energy, and the Number of each element and energy **Measure before the cosmic rays break-up in the atmosphere**

 \Rightarrow In space (expensive) or at least at very high altitude (balloon)

Need to measure for as long as possible

 \Rightarrow Use a long duration balloon to get 15 to 30 days of exposure

Principle of "Ionization Calorimetry"

- \Rightarrow Cosmic ray enters from top
- \Rightarrow Nuclear interaction in target section
- ⇒ 'BGO Calorimeter' fosters a cascade (or shower) of many sub-particles
- ⇒ How this "cloud" of sub-particles develops depends upon the initial cosmic ray energy.



ATIC-1 Test Flight from McMurdo - 2000



CIM Jan 14 19:30 LDB_Anterotics_ATIC

- Launch: 12/28/00 04:25 UTC
- Begin Science: 12/29/00 03:54 UTC
 - End Science: 01/12/01 20:33 UTC
- Termination: 01/13/01 03:56 UTC
- Recovery: 01/23/01; 01/25/01

- 43.5 Gbytes Recorded Data
- 26,100,000 Cosmic Ray triggers
- 1,300,000 Calibration records
- 742,000 Housekeeping records
- 18,300 Rate records
- Low Energy Trigger > 10 GeV for protons
- >70% Live-time
- >90% of channels operating nominally
- Internal pressure (~8 psi) held constant
- Internal Temperature: 20 30 C
- Altitude: 37 ± 1.5 km



ATIC-2 Science Flight from McMurdo - 2002

день



CIAT 2003 Jan 18 07:40:01 LD8_Antarctics_ATIC

- Launch: 12/29/02 04:59 UTC
- Begin Science: 12/30/02 05:40 UTC
 - End Science: 01/18/03 01:32 UTC
- Termination: 01/18/03 02:01 UTC
- Recovery: 01/28/03; 01/30/03

- 65 Gbytes Recorded Data
- 16,900,000 Cosmic Ray triggers
- 1,600,000 Calibration records
- 184,000 Housekeeping records
- 26,000 Rate records
- High Energy Trigger > 75 GeV for protons
- >96% Live-time
- >90% of channels operating nominally
- Internal pressure (~8 psi) decreased slightly (~0.7 psi) for 1st 10 days then held constant
- Internal Temperature: 12 22 C
- Altitude: 36.5 ± 1.5 km



The ATIC-3 attempt ended in disaster!



- ATIC-3 was launched Dec. 19, 2005
- Balloon failure occurred almost immediately after launch
- Reached only 75,000 feet before starting down
- Had to quickly terminate as ATIC was headed out to sea
- Landed only 6 miles from edge of ice shelf
- The instrument was fully recovered instrument and refurbished in preparation for the 4th and final flight of ATIC in 2007.

Разрыв оболочки

The ATIC – 4



Launch: 12/26/07 13:47 UTC Science: 12/27/07 14:00 UTC End Data: 01/11/08 02:00 UTC Terminate:01/15/08 00:30 UTC Recovery: 02/01/08 from South Pole

14.5 days of Data about 75% of ATIC-2

Результаты ATIC-2 в пользу диффузионной модели



The ATIC H and He spectra are fit by a diffusion model that includes weak re-acceleration due to Kolmogorov turbulence (Osborne and Ptuskin, 1988)

p/He ratio



This experimental result - verification of any models

Average mass definition below "the knee" – the real test for current models



Heavy Nuclei



Химсостав космических лучей до «колена»



Better understanding of the chemical composition of GCR below "the knee"

ФКП: эксперимент «Нуклон»

НИИЯФ МГУ ОИЯИ



Characteristics :

Geometrical factor >0.10 m2sr for the high-energy component;

>0.25 m2sr for the low-energy component



The NUCLEON device includes *charge measuring system*, *tracker* and *energy measuring system*, *the trigger system*, *control electronics*.

The charge measuring system consists of 4 silicon detectors layers.

The tracker and energy measuring system consists of: the carbon block with the size $50\times50\times9$ cm3 served as a target, 6 identical layers of micro-strip silicon detectors, 2 identical tungsten layers with the size $50\times50\times0.7$ cm³ served as a gamma-converter.

The trigger system (SC1-SC6) consists of three double layer 16-strip scintillator detectors (size ~ $500 \times 30 \times 0.5$ mm³) with a few 1 mm WLS fibers.

Space platform to carry payload with a big mass



Размещение аппаратуры На приборной раме КА

The new spacecraft, to launch in 2015

- 1. Orbit 800-2000 kм,
- 2. Payload <1500 kg,
- 3. Power 16,5 kW
- 4. Payload demensions: R=1250 мм, H=1250 мм



CR chemical composition above the knee



All particle spectra around the knee



Mean mass composition



Chemical composition become more light above 10>17 eV?



The Second Knee

CR nuclei

UHECR, > 10¹⁹ eV





Wavelenth, lg λ , cm



IgE

19,5

Проблема GZК – решена?



Composition?

Where is Zevatron?

Самые последние данные (9 ноября 2007 г.) установки Оже указывают на возможную корреляцию направления прихода протонов с энергией выше предела ГЗК с направлением на активные ядра местного скопления- «супер-галактики».



Открывается новое «окно» излучения для наблюдения самых мощных объектов Вселенной- «протонная» астрономия по данным о частицах космических лучей ультравысоких энергий.

Изучение КЛПВЭ из космоса?

UHECR measurements from space?

Изучение КЛПВЭ из космоса? UHECR measurements from space?

UV emissions from UHECR EAS



Aleksandr Chudakov (mentioned in1955, published in 1962,simulteneously with Suga,1962) proposed the idea of measurements of atmospheric scintillation(300-450nm) from CR



John Linsley's (1980) idea: to measure ultraviolet emissions from space(AIRWATCH project)



Space fluorescence detectors TUS for study of UHECR



JEM-EUSO: 2013(?)





Планируемые эксперименты на МКС по изучению КЛПВЭ Extreme Universe Space Observatory in 2012 JEM-EUSO UHECR/ GZK neutrino study



Then, after 2015, – Super EUSO?

Development of new space technology: large mirror-concentrators,

large Fresnel lenses, highly efficient photo sensors.



SO1 \rightarrow Extension of the measurement of the UHECP energy spectrum beyond 10²⁰ eV, reaching E > 10²¹ eV.

SO5→ Measurement of the flux of compact and diffuse sources of Ultra-High Energy Neutrinos; search for horizontal and skimming showers induced by tau neutrinos; estimate of neutrinos cross sections at UHE.

Нейтринная астрономия экстремальных энергий

Neutrino Astronomy at Extreme Energies





Active Galactic

Nuclei (AGN)

Topological Defects (TD)

Supermassive

Dark Matter (SM)

Proton-photon collisions (GZK)

Gamma Ray Bursts (GRB)



Signature of neutrinos

Special role of tau neutrino, $v_{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow v_{\tau}$.

Lepton component of CR,

or the 3d knee problem

Electrons can provide additional information about the GCR source

- High energy electrons have a high energy loss rate $\propto E^2$
 - Lifetime of $\sim 10^5$ years for >1 TeV electrons
- Transport of GCR through interstellar space is a diffusive process
 - Implies that source of high energy electrons are < 1 kpc away



The ATIC electron results exhibits a feature

- Curves are from GALPROP diffusion propagation simulation code
 - Solid curve is local interstellar space
 - Dashed curve is with solar modulation (500 MV)
- "Excess" at about 300 600 GeV
- Also seen by recent PPB-BETS



Results from ATIC - 1 and ATIC - 2



Most exotic explanation is "Dark Matter"

- Neutralinos and Kaluza-Klein particles can annihilate to produce e⁺ and e⁻
 - > Mass and branching ratio cross sections not well defined
- Use the KK particle generator built into GALPROP to test the parameter space.
- Mass = 650 GeV
- Scale height = 4 kpc
- Mass density = 0.43 GeV / cm³
- Annihilation cross section = 10^{-23} cm³/s
- About 200 times more than expected!



ATIC vs. Fermi discussions

- ATIC BGO calorimeter
 - ≻ 18 22 Xo
 - fully contains the electron shower
 - \blacktriangleright energy resolution of ~2 %

- Fermi CsI calorimeter
 - Thinner, 8.6 Xo
 - ➤ showers are not fully contained
 - distribution of the reconstructed energy is asymmetric with a longer tail toward lower energies
 - > **Poorer energy resolution** ~20%

AMS (2002) ATIC-1,2 (2008) **∗ Tang et al (1984)** PPB-BETS (2008) ∧ Kobayashi (1999) - HEAT (2001) HESS (2008) FERMI (2009) BETS (2001) E³J(E) (GeV²m⁻²s⁻¹sr⁻¹) 100 Abdo et al., PRL 102, 181101 (2009) ___ conventional diffusive model 10 1000 100 E (GeV)

Analysis method comparison

- ATIC analysis uses quantities measured during flight (e.g. atmospheric secondary gammas) to set selection cuts and determine background rates.
- In Fermi much of the electron identification and background rejection is based on simulations only.

Other models...

1/Cosmic ray electrons and positrons from supernova explosions of massive stars

P. L. Biermann, J. K. Becker, A. Meli, W. Rhode, E. S. Seo, and T. Stanev

-

....cosmic ray electron and cosmic ray positron excess components and their cutoffs to the acceleration in the supernova shock in the polar cap of exploding Wolf Rayet and Red Super Giant stars.....

2/ Pulsars?

3/ Natural explanation for the anomalous positron to electron ratio with supernova remnants as the sole cosmic ray source

Nir J. Shaviv1, Ehud Nakar2,3 & Tsvi Piran1

Thank you