JPG 32, 1025 (2006) NPBPS 186, 207 (2009)

HADRONIC EFFECTS IN LOW–ENERGY QCD: ADLER FUNCTION AND au DECAY


A.V. Nesterenko

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980, Russia

INTRODUCTION

Hadronic vacuum polarization function $\Pi(q^2)$ plays a crucial role in various issues of elementary particle




physics. Indeed, the theoretical description of some strong interaction processes and of the hadronic contributions to electroweak observables is inherently based on $\Pi(q^2)$:

- electron–positron annihilation into hadrons
- hadronic τ lepton decay
- muon anomalous magnetic moment
- running of the electromagnetic coupling

The cross–section of e^+e^- annihilation into hadrons reads

$$\sigma = 4\pi^2 \frac{2\alpha^2}{s^3} L^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\mu\nu},$$

where $s = q^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2 > 0$,



$$L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[q_{\mu}q_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}q^2 - (p_1 - p_2)_{\mu}(p_1 - p_2)_{\nu} \Big],$$

$$\Delta_{\mu\nu} = (2\pi)^4 \sum_{\Gamma} \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p_{\Gamma}) \langle 0|J_{\mu}(-q)|\Gamma\rangle \langle \Gamma|J_{\nu}(q)|0\rangle,$$

 Γ denotes a final hadron state, and $J_{\mu} = \sum_{f} Q_{f} : \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q$: stands for the electromagnetic quark current.

It is worth stressing that $\Delta_{\mu\nu}(q^2)$ exists only for $q^2 \geq 4m_{\pi}^2$, since otherwise no hadron state Γ could be excited:

■ R.P.Feynman (1972); S.L.Adler, PRD10 (1974).

The hadronic tensor can be represented as $\Delta_{\mu\nu} = 2 \operatorname{Im} \Pi_{\mu\nu}$,

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q^2) = i \int e^{iqx} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{\mu}(x) J_{\nu}(0) \} | 0 \rangle d^4x = (q_{\mu}q_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}q^2) \Pi(q^2).$$

The hadronic vacuum polarization function $\Pi(q^2)$ satisfies the once–subtracted dispersion relation (cut for $q^2 \ge 4m_\pi^2$)

$$\Pi(q^2) = \Pi(q_0^2) - (q^2 - q_0^2) \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{(s - q^2)(s - q_0^2)} ds,$$

where $m_{\pi} \simeq 135 \,\text{MeV}$ is the mass of the π meson and R(s) denotes the measurable ratio of two cross–sections:

$$R(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \left[\Pi(s - i\varepsilon) - \Pi(s + i\varepsilon) \right] = \frac{\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons}; s\right)}{\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-; s\right)}.$$

It is worth noting here that $R(s) \equiv 0$ for $s < 4m_{\pi}^2$ because of the kinematic restrictions mentioned above:

 \blacksquare R.P.Feynman (1972).

For practical purposes it proves to be convenient to deal with the so-called Adler function $D(Q^2)$ $(Q^2 = -q^2 \ge 0)$:

$$D(Q^2) = \frac{d \Pi(-Q^2)}{d \ln Q^2}, \qquad D(Q^2) = Q^2 \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{(s+Q^2)^2} ds,$$

which plays an indispensable role for the congruous analysis of the timelike and spacelike experimental data:


■ S.L.Adler PRD10 (1974); A.Rujula, H.Georgi PRD13 (1976); J.D.Bjorken (1989).

The inverse relation between

 $D(Q^2)$ and R(s) reads


$$R(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_+} \int_{s+i\varepsilon}^{s-i\varepsilon} D(-\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}$$

■ A.V.Radyushkin (1982), hep-ph/9907228; N.V.Krasnikov, A.A.Pivovarov PLB116 (1982).



Although there are no direct measurements of the Adler function, it can be restored by employing the experimental data on R(s) (overall factor $N_{\mathbf{c}} \sum_{f} Q_f^2$ is omitted):

$$D_{\text{exp}}(Q^2) = Q^2 \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{s_0} \frac{R_{\text{exp}}(s)}{(s+Q^2)^2} ds + Q^2 \int_{s_0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\text{theor}}(s)}{(s+Q^2)^2} ds$$




There is also a number of lattice simulations, which generally agree with the shown result:

■ JLQCD and TWQCD Collabs., PRD79 (2009); QCDSF Collab., NPB688 (2004).

5

On the one hand, perturbation theory provides an explicit expression for the Adler function valid at $Q^2 \to \infty$:

$$D_{\mathbf{pert}}^{(\ell)}(Q^2) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} d_j \left[\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}^{(\ell)}(Q^2) \right]^j.$$



■ S.G.Gorishny, A.L.Kataev, S.A.Larin PLB259 (1991); L.R.Surguladze, M.A.Samuel PRL66 (1991); P.A.Baikov, K.G.Chetyrkin, J.H.Kuhn, PRL101 (2008).

On the other hand, this perturbative approximation is inconsistent with the dispersion relation for $D(Q^2)$ due to unphysical singularities of the strong running coupling $\alpha_s(Q^2)$:

$$D_{\mathbf{pert}}^{(1)}(Q^2) = 1 + d_1 \,\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}(Q^2), \qquad \alpha_{\mathbf{s}}^{(1)}(Q^2) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \frac{1}{\ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2)},$$

where $d_1 = 1/\pi$ and $\beta_0 = 11 - 2n_f/3$.

Dispersion relation imposes stringent constraints on $D(Q^2)$: $D(Q^2) = Q^2 \int_{4m_\pi^2}^\infty \frac{R(s)}{(s+Q^2)^2} \, ds$

- Since R(s) assumes finite values and $R(s) \to \text{const}$ when $s \to \infty$, then $D(Q^2) \to 0$ at $Q^2 \to 0$ (holds for $m_{\pi} \neq 0$ only)
- Adler function possesses the only cut $Q^2 \le -4m_{\pi}^2$ along the negative semiaxis of real Q^2


PRIMARY OBJECTIVE: to merge these nonperturbative constraints with perturbative result for the Adler function.

NEW INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FOR $D(Q^2)$

This objective can be achieved by deriving the integral representations for the Adler function and R(s)-ratio, which involve a common spectral function.

$$D(Q^{2}) = Q^{2} \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{(s+Q^{2})^{2}} ds$$

$$R(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_{+}} \int_{s+i\varepsilon}^{s-i\varepsilon} D(-\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}$$



Parton model prediction + kinematic restriction on R(s):

$$R_0(s) = \theta(s - 4m_{\pi}^2)$$



$$R_0(s) = \theta(s - 4m_\pi^2)$$
 \longrightarrow $D_0(Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + 4m_\pi^2}$

R.P. Feynman (1972).

$$D(Q^{2}) = \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + 4m_{\pi}^{2}} + d(Q^{2})$$

$$R(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_{+}} \int_{s+i\varepsilon}^{s-i\varepsilon} D(-\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}$$

$$R(s) = \theta(s - 4m_{\pi}^{2}) \left[1 + \int_{s}^{\infty} \rho_{\mathrm{D}}(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right]$$

$$D(Q^{2}) = Q^{2} \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \frac{R(s)}{(s + Q^{2})^{2}} ds$$

$$D(Q^{2}) = \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + 4m_{\pi}^{2}} \left[1 + \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \rho_{\mathrm{D}}(\sigma) \frac{\sigma - 4m_{\pi}^{2}}{\sigma + Q^{2}} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right]$$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{D}}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_{+}} \left[D_{\mathbf{theor}}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) - D_{\mathbf{theor}}(-\sigma + i\varepsilon) \right] = -\frac{d R_{\mathbf{exp}}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma}$$

 \blacksquare A.V. Nesterenko, J. Papavassiliou, JPG32 (2006).

Thus one arrives at the following integral representations:

$$D(Q^{2}) = \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + 4m_{\pi}^{2}} \left[1 + \int_{4m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\infty} \rho_{\mathbf{D}}(\sigma) \frac{\sigma - 4m_{\pi}^{2}}{\sigma + Q^{2}} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right]$$

$$R(s) = \theta(s - 4m_{\pi}^{2}) \left[1 + \int_{s}^{\infty} \rho_{\mathbf{D}}(\sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} \right]$$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{D}}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0_{+}} \left[D_{\mathbf{theor}}(-\sigma - i\varepsilon) - D_{\mathbf{theor}}(-\sigma + i\varepsilon) \right] = -\frac{d R_{\mathbf{exp}}(\sigma)}{d \ln \sigma}$$


- A.V. Nesterenko, J. Papavassiliou, JPG32 (2006).
- all nonperturbative constraints on $D(Q^2)$ are satisfied
- congruent analysis of spacelike and timelike processes

In the limit $m_{\pi} = 0$ the obtained expressions become identical to those of the Analytic perturbation theory

■ D.V. Shirkov, I.L. Solovtsov, PRL79 (1997); EPJC22 (2001); TMP150 (2007).

There is no unique way to compute the corresponding spectral density by making use of perturbative $D_{\rm pert}(Q^2)$. In what follows the one-loop spectral function is adopted:

$$\rho^{(1)}(\sigma) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \frac{1}{\ln^2 \sigma + \pi^2}$$



■ A.V. Nesterenko, PRD62 (2000); PRD64 (2001).

ADVANTAGES:

- unphysical perturbative singularities are eliminated
- additional parameters are not introduced
- reasonable agreement with $D_{\text{exp}}(Q^2)$ for all energies

INCLUSIVE au LEPTON DECAY

The inclusive semileptonic branching ratio:

$$R_{\tau} = \frac{\Gamma(\tau^{-} \to \text{hadrons}^{-} \nu_{\tau})}{\Gamma(\tau^{-} \to e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e} \nu_{\tau})} = R_{\tau, \mathbf{v}} + R_{\tau, \mathbf{a}} + R_{\tau, \mathbf{s}}.$$

Its nonstrange part associated with vector quark currents:

$$R_{\tau, \mathbf{v}} = \frac{N_{\mathbf{c}}}{2} |V_{\mathbf{ud}}|^2 S_{\mathbf{EW}} \left(\Delta_{\mathbf{QCD}} + \delta'_{\mathbf{EW}} \right) = 1.764 \pm 0.016$$

■ OPAL Collab., EPJC7 (1999); ALEPH Collab., EPJC4 (1998), RMP78 (2006).

In this equation $N_c = 3$, $|V_{ud}| = 0.9738 \pm 0.0005$, $\delta'_{EW} = 0.0010$,

$$S_{\text{EW}} = 1.0194 \pm 0.0050, M_{\tau} = 1.777 \,\text{GeV}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta_{\text{QCD}} = 2 \int_0^{M_\tau^2} \left(1 - \frac{s}{M_\tau^2} \right)^2 \left(1 + 2 \frac{s}{M_\tau^2} \right) R(s) \frac{ds}{M_\tau^2}.$$

Perturbative approach:

$$\Delta_{\text{QCD}} = 1 + d_1 \, \alpha_{\text{s}}^{(1)}(M_{\tau}^2) \longrightarrow \Lambda = (678 \pm 55) \,\text{MeV}, \quad n_{\text{f}} = 2$$

■ E. Braaten, S. Narison, A. Pich, NPB373 (1992).

Current analysis:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\text{QCD}} &= 1 + d_1 \alpha_{\text{\tiny TL}}^{(1)}(M_\tau^2) - \delta_\Gamma + \frac{4}{\beta_0} \int_{\chi}^1 f(\xi) \rho^{(1)} (\xi M_\tau^2) d\xi - d_1 \delta_\Gamma \alpha_{\text{\tiny TL}}^{(1)}(m_\Gamma^2), \\ f(\xi) &= \xi^3 - 2\xi^2 + 2, \quad \chi = \frac{m_\Gamma^2}{M_\tau^2}, \quad \delta_\Gamma = \chi \, f(\chi) \simeq 0.048, \quad d_1 = \frac{1}{\pi}, \\ \alpha_{\text{\tiny TL}}^{(1)}(s) &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \, \theta(s - m_\Gamma^2) \int_s^\infty \rho^{(1)}(\sigma) \, \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma}, \qquad m_\Gamma = m_{\pi^0} + m_{\pi^-} \end{split}$$

- massive case: $\Lambda = (941 \pm 86) \,\mathrm{MeV}$
- massless limit: $\Lambda = (493 \pm 56) \,\mathrm{MeV}$
- A.V. Nesterenko, NPBPS186 (2009).

SUMMARY

- New integral representations for the Adler function and R(s)-ratio are derived
- These representations possess appealing features:
 - unphysical perturbative singularities are eliminated
 - additional parameters are not introduced
 - the π^2 -terms are automatically taken into account
 - reasonable description of $D(Q^2)$ in entire energy range
- The effects due to the pion mass play a substantial role in the analysis of the inclusive τ lepton hadronic decay