Structure of $2^{+}_{1,2}$ states in ^{132,134,136}Te

Alexey P. Severyukhin

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics JINR, Dubna

In collaboration with N.N. Arsenyev – BLTP, JINR, Dubna N. Pietralla – IKP, Darmstadt V. Werner – IKP, Darmstadt

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 90, 011306(R) (2014)

EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Proposal to the ISOLDE and Neutron Time-of-Flight Committee

(Following HIE-ISOLDE Letter of Intent I-111)

2⁺ Anomaly and Configurational Isospin Polarization of ¹³⁶Te October 7, 2014

C. Stahl¹, V. Werner¹, N. Pietralla¹, G. Rainovski², A. Jungclaus³, T. Kröll¹, M. Lettmann¹, O. Möller¹, M. Reese¹, R. Stegmann¹, T. Stora⁴

¹Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany ²Faculty of Physics, St. Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia, 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria ³Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, 28006 Madrid, Spain ⁴CERN, Switzerland

> Spokesperson: V. Werner (vw@ikp.tu-darmstadt.de) Co-Spokespersons: N. Pietralla (pietralla@ikp.tu-darmstadt.de) G. Rainovski (rig@phys.uni-sofia.bg) Contact person: E. Rapisarda (elisa.rapisarda@cern.ch)

Outline

- Introduction
- The method
- The first and second 2⁺ excitations in ^{132,134,136}Te
- Summary

particle-hole channel particle-particle channel $\bigcup \quad V_0 \left(1 - \eta \frac{\rho(r_1)}{\rho_0} \right) \delta\left(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}\right)$ Skyrme interaction **HF-BCS** calculations QRPA calculations $Q_{\nu}^{+}|0>$ $Q_{\nu}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{jj'} X_{jj'}^{\nu} A^{+}(jj'; JM) - (-1)^{J-M} Y_{jj'}^{\nu} A(jj'; J-M)$ $A^+(jj';JM) = \sum \langle jmj'm' | JM \rangle \alpha^+_{jm} \alpha^+_{j'm'}$ Using the equation-of-motion approach one can get $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{B} & \mathcal{A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$

Making use of the finite rank separable approximation for the residual interaction enables one to perform the calculations in very large configuration spaces

Nguyen Van Giai, Ch. Stoyanov, and V. V. Voronov, Phys. Rev. C57,1204 (1998). A.P.S., V. V. Voronov, and Nguyen Van Giai, Phys. Rev. C77, 024322 (2008). The coupling between one- and two-phonon terms in the wave functions of excited states are taken into account

$$\begin{split} \left| \Psi_{JM\nu} \right\rangle &= \left\{ \sum_{i} R_{i} (J\nu) Q_{JMi}^{+} + \sum_{\lambda_{1} i_{1} \lambda_{2} i_{2}} P_{\lambda_{2} i_{2}}^{\lambda_{1} i_{1}} (J\nu) \left[Q_{\lambda_{2} i_{2}}^{+} Q_{\lambda_{1} i_{1}}^{+} \right]_{JM} \right\} \left| 0 \right\rangle \\ \text{with the normalization condition} \\ &= \sum_{i} [R_{i} (\lambda\nu)]^{2} + 2 \sum_{\lambda_{1} i_{1} \lambda_{2} i_{2}} \left[P_{\lambda_{2} i_{2}}^{\lambda_{1} i_{1}} (\lambda\nu) \right]^{2} \left(1 + K^{\lambda} (\lambda_{1} i_{1}, \lambda_{2} i_{2}) \right) = 1 \\ K^{\lambda} &= (2\lambda_{1} + 1)(2\lambda_{2} + 1) \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{\lambda_{1} i_{1} \lambda_{2} i_{2}}} \\ &\times \sum_{j_{1} j_{2} j_{3} j_{4}} (-1)^{j_{2} + j_{4} + \lambda} \begin{cases} j_{1} & j_{2} & \lambda_{2} \\ j_{4} & j_{3} & \lambda_{1} \\ \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \lambda \end{cases} \left(X_{j_{1} j_{4}}^{\lambda_{1} i_{1}} X_{j_{3} j_{4}}^{\lambda_{1} i_{1}} X_{j_{3} j_{2}}^{\lambda_{2} i_{2}} - Y_{j_{1} j_{4}}^{\lambda_{1} i_{1}} Y_{j_{3} j_{4}}^{\lambda_{2} i_{2}} Y_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{\lambda_{2} i_{2}} \right) \end{split}$$

The two-phonon components of the wave functions may violate the Pauli principle. To solve this problem, we take into account exact commutation relations between the phonon operators.

A.P.S., V.V. Voronov, and Nguyen Van Giai, Eur. Phys. J. A22, 397 (2004).V. V. Voronov, D. Karadjov, F. Catara, and A.P.S., Phys. Part. Nucl. 31, 452 (2000).

What is a "Mixed-Symmetry" State?



Low-lying isovector excitations of the valence shell of heavy nuclei represent a unique laboratory for studying the balance between collectivity, shell structure, and the isospin degree of freedom. These excitations, so-called mixed-symmetry (MS) states, have been predicted in the proton-neutron version of the interacting boson model (IBM-2), where the proton-neutron symmetry of the wave functions is quantified by the bosonic analog of isospin, termed F spin. There are the fully symmetric (FS) states with maximum F-spin (F=F_{max}) and the MS states with F<F_{max}. M1 transitions between the excited states within the QRPA

$$\hat{M}(M;\lambda\mu) = \mu_N \sum_{i=1}^{A} \left(g_i^{(s)} \vec{s_i} + \frac{2}{1+\lambda} g_i^{(l)} \vec{l_i} \right) \nabla(r_i^{\lambda} Y_{\lambda\mu}(\theta_i, \varphi_i))$$

$$g^{(s)} = \begin{cases} 5.5856 & \text{for protons} \\ -3.8263 & \text{for neutrons} \end{cases} g^{(l)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for protons} \\ 0 & \text{for neutrons} \end{cases}$$

$$B(M\lambda; \lambda_{2}^{\pi_{2}}i_{2} \to \lambda_{1}^{\pi_{1}}i_{1}) = (2\lambda_{1} + 1)$$

$$\times \left| \sum_{\tau=n,p} \sum_{j_{1}j_{2}j_{3}} \left\langle j_{1} \| M\lambda \| j_{2} \right\rangle v_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{(+)} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \lambda_{2} & \lambda_{1} & \lambda \\ j_{1} & j_{2} & j_{3} \end{matrix} \right\} \left(X_{j_{2}j_{3}}^{\lambda_{2}i_{2}} X_{j_{3}j_{1}}^{\lambda_{1}i_{1}} - Y_{j_{2}j_{3}}^{\lambda_{2}i_{2}} Y_{j_{3}j_{1}}^{\lambda_{1}i_{1}} \right) \right|^{2}$$

where

$$v_{12}^{(+)} = u_1 u_2 + v_1 v_2$$

$$\langle j \| M1 \| j \rangle = \mu_N \sqrt{\frac{3}{32\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{j(j+1)}} \\ \times \Big(\Big(g^{(s)} - g^{(l)} \Big) \Big(1 + (-1)^{l+\frac{1}{2}-j} (2j+1) \Big) + g^{(l)} j (j+1) 2\sqrt{2} \Big)$$

The first and second 2⁺ excitations in ^{132,134,136}Te



The low-energy quadrupole excitations of ^{132,134,136}Te show interesting properties. The good experimental knowledge of the remarkable reduction for excitation energy and B(E2)-value of the first 2⁺ state of ¹³⁶Te with respect to ¹³²Te makes the properties of the first 2⁺ states an attractive topic for theoretical studies. This anomaly has been attributed to a neutron dominance of the first 2⁺ state of ¹³⁶Te. It would be helpful to study the effect of the variational configuration space on the behaviour of the $B(M1; 2^+_2 \rightarrow 2^+_1)$ value of the Te isotopes.

The B(E2)-anomaly and the isovector character of the second 2⁺ state of ¹³²Te

| | $\lambda_i^{\pi} = 2_i^+$ | $T = 2_i^+$ Energy (MeV) | | Structure | $B(E2; 0^+_{gs} \to 2^+_i)$ | | $B(E2; 2_i^+ \to 2_1^+)$ | | $B(M1; 2_i^+ \to 2_1^+)$ | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | | Expt. | Theory | | Expt. |) Theory | (e Expt. | Theory | Expt. $(\mu$ | N) Theory |
| $^{132}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2_1^+ | 0.974 | 0.83 | $87\%[2_1^+]_{QRPA}$ | 1996 ± 200 | 2460 | | | | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 1.665 | 2.33 | $79\%[2_2^+]_{QRPA} + 13\%[2_3^+]_{QRPA}$ | 100 ± 20 | 30 | 0-799 | 20 | > 0.23 | 0.30 |
| | 2^+_3 | 1.788 | 2.46 | $85\%[2_4^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 50 | | 40 | | 0.18 |
| $^{134}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 1.279 | 2.09 | $99\%[2_1^+]_{QRPA}$ | 960±120 | 1380 | | | | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 2.464 | 2.55 | $97\%[2_2^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 10 | | 0 | | 0.27 |
| | 2^+_3 | 2.934 | 2.62 | $98\%[2_3^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.10 |
| $^{136}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 0.606 | 0.92 | $97\%[2_1^+]_{QRPA}$ | 1030 ± 150 | 1120 | | | | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 1.568 | 2.01 | $94\%[2_2^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 740 | | 20 | | 0.51 |
| | 2^+_3 | | 2.37 | $65\%[2_3^+]_{QRPA} + 25\%[2_4^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 30 | | 10 | | 0.04 |

The results of QRPA calculations

| | State | Energy (MeV) | $\begin{array}{c} B(M1;2^+_i\rightarrow 2^+_1) \\ (\mu^2_N) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} B(E2;0^+_{gs}\rightarrow 2^+_i) \\ (\mathrm{e}^2\mathrm{fm}^4) \end{array}$ | $\{n_1l_1j_1, n_2l_2j_2\}_{\tau}$ | Х | Υ | % | = |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|----|---------------|
| $^{132}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 1.42 | | 2640 | $\{1h_{11/2}, 1h_{11/2}\}_{\nu}$ | 1.02 | 0.26 | 49 | - |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{7/2}, 1g_{7/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.65 | 0.17 | 20 | |
| | | | | | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.56 | 0.14 | 14 | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 2.57 | 0.48 | 10 | $\{1h_{11/2}, 1h_{11/2}\}_{\nu}$ | 0.45 | -0.02 | 10 | |
| | | | | | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | -1.29 | 0.01 | 83 | \mathcal{A} |
| | 2_{3}^{+} | 2.63 | 0.01 | 0 | $\{1g_{7/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | -0.92 | 0.00 | 84 | |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{7/2}, 1g_{7/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.56 | -0.01 | 16 | |
| | 2_{4}^{+} | 2.67 | 0.23 | 40 | $\{1h_{11/2}, 1h_{11/2}\}_{\nu}$ | -0.82 | 0.04 | 34 | |
| | - | | | | $\{1g_{7/2}, 1g_{7/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 1.07 | -0.03 | 57 | |
| $^{134}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2^{+}_{1} | 2.15 | | 1380 | $\{1g_{7/2}, 1g_{7/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 1.05 | 0.06 | 55 | - |
| | | | | | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.74 | 0.06 | 27 | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 2.63 | 0.23 | 10 | $\{1g_{7/2}, 1g_{7/2}\}_{\pi}$ | -0.89 | 0.01 | 40 | |
| | - | | | | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.85 | 0.01 | 36 | |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{7/2},2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.49 | 0.00 | 24 | |
| $^{136}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2^{+}_{1} | 1.05 | | 1010 | $\{2f_{7/2}, 2f_{7/2}\}_{\nu}$ | 1.32 | 0.14 | 86 | - |
| | | | | | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.32 | 0.13 | 4 | |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{7/2}, 1g_{7/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.30 | 0.12 | 4 | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 2.20 | 0.44 | 920 | $\{2f_{7/2}, 2f_{7/2}\}_{\nu}$ | -0.52 | 0.13 | 13 | |
| | - | | | (| $\{1g_{7/2}, 1g_{7/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.83 | 0.04 | 34 | |
| | | | | | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.82 | 0.04 | 34 | |

The results of calculations in the space of one- and two-phonon configurations.

| | $\lambda_i^{\pi} = 2_i^+$ | ${ m Energy}\ ({ m MeV})$ | | Energy Structure (MeV) | | Structure | $B(E2; 0^+_{gs} \to 2^+_i)$ $(e^2 fm^4)$ | | $B(E2; 2_i^+ \to 2_1^+)$ $(e^2 fm^4)$ | | $\frac{B(M1; 2_i^+ \to 2_1^+)}{(\mu_N^2)}$ | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|---|------------------|-----------|--|--------|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | | Expt. | Theory | | Expt. | Theory | Expt. | Theory | Expt. | Theory | | |
| $^{132}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 0.974 | 0.83 | $87\%[2_1^+]_{QRPA}$ | $1996 {\pm} 200$ | 2460 | | | | | | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 1.665 | 2.33 | $79\%[2_2^+]_{QRPA} + 13\%[2_3^+]_{QRPA}$ | 100 ± 20 | 30 | 0-799 | 20 | > 0.23 | 0.30 | | |
| | 2^{+}_{3} | 1.788 | 2.46 | $85\%[2_4^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 50 | | 40 | | 0.18 | | |
| $^{134}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 1.279 | 2.09 | $99\%[2_1^+]_{QRPA}$ | $960{\pm}120$ | 1380 | | | | | | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 2.464 | 2.55 | $97\%[2_2^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 10 | | 0 | | 0.27 | | |
| | 2^{+}_{3} | 2.934 | 2.62 | $98\%[2_3^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 0 | | 0 | | 0.10 | | |
| $^{136}\mathrm{Te}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 0.606 | 0.92 | $97\%[2_1^+]_{QRPA}$ | 1030 ± 150 | 1120 | | | | \frown | | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 1.568 | 2.01 | $94\%[2_2^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 740 | | 20 | | 0.51 | | |
| | 2_{3}^{+} | | 2.37 | $65\%[2_3^+]_{QRPA} + 25\%[2_4^+]_{QRPA}$ | | 30 | | 10 | | 0.04 | | |









The behaviour anomaly of B(E2)-values of its first 2^+ states and the isovector character of the second 2^+ state of 132 Te are the indispensable ingredients in the microscopic analysis. Our calculations with the f₂ Skyrme interaction in the p-h channel and the volume pairing interaction describe it since the first two-quasiparticle state is the $\{1h_{11/2}, 1h_{11/2}\}$ state while the second state is the $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\pi}$ one. The proton single-particle structure around the Fermi level plays the key role to explain the effects of the variational-space extension. It is worth pointing out that the near-degeneracy of the proton subshells $2d_{5/2}$ and $1g_{7/2}$ remains valid for the SLy5 parameter set which is a starting point for the fitting protocol of the f₂ set. However the two-quasiparticle state order is not reproduced in the case of the SLy5 set. This is mainly due to less isospin splitting of the effective mass.

| | | Pro | don sing | le-partic | cie energ | gies (in | wev) | |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| | $m_{\pi}^{*} - m_{\pi}^{*}$ | | 132 | ² Te | 134 | ^l Te | 136 | Te |
| | $\frac{m_n - m_p}{m}$ | | f_{-} | SLy5 | f_{-} | SLy5 | f_{-} | SLy5 |
| <i>f</i> _ | -0.284 | $2p_{1/2} \ 1g_{9/2}$ | -16.4 -14.5 | -16.3 -14.2 | -17.0 -15.2 | -16.9 -14.9 | -17.7 -1 <u>5.</u> 8 | -17.5 -15.5 |
| SLy5 | -0.182 | $1g_{7/2} \\ 2d_{5/2}$ | -8.1 -8.1 | -7.9 -7.8 | -8.9 -8.7 | -8.6 -8.4 | -9.4 -9.4 | -9.2 -9.1 |
| | | $2d_{3/2}$ | -5.7 | -5.5 | -6.4 | -6.2 | -7.0 | -6.8 |

Summary

- Starting from the Skyrme mean-field calculations we have studied the properties of the low-energy spectrum of 2⁺ excitations of ^{132,134,136}Te. Using the Skyrme interaction f_{_} in connection with the volume pairing interaction, a successful description of the anomalous behavior of excitation energies and the B(E2) values of the first 2⁺ states is obtained. For ¹³²Te, we identify the second 2⁺ state as a fully developed one-phonon MS state.
- For ¹³⁶Te, we observe a dominance of the neutron configurations in the wave function of the first 2⁺ state. The second 2⁺ state is a proton-dominated state, corresponding to a MS state with substantial configurational isospin polarization (CIP). Nevertheless, the B(M1; $2_2^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$) value of ¹³⁶Te is larger than the value of ¹³²Te due to the mechanism based on the near-degeneracy of the proton singleparticle states near the Fermi level. The f₂ set seems to be appropriate for MS/CIP spectroscopy of neutron-rich isotopes.

The low-energy spectrum of 2^+ excitations of nuclei in the mass range A \approx 90



The low-energy spectrum of quadrupole excitations in ⁹⁴Mo is extensively studied in many experiments. The experimental efforts have stimulated theoretical analysis based on the interacting boson model (IBM-2), the QPM and the shell model.

The results of QRPA calculations

| | State | Energy | $B(M1;2^+_i\to2^+_1)$ | $B(E2; 0^+_{gs} \to 2^+_i)$ | $\{n_1 l_1 j_1, n_2 l_2 j_2\}_{\tau}$ | Х | Υ | % |
|--------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|----|
| | | (MeV) | (μ_N^2) | $(e^2 fm^4)$ | | | | |
| 90 Zr | 2_{1}^{+} | 2.8 | | 630 | $\{2d_{5/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\nu}$ | -0.37 | -0.11 | 13 |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{9/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 1.03 | 0.06 | 53 |
| | | | | | $\{2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2}\}_{\pi}$ | -0.52 | -0.03 | 26 |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 3.4 | 0.00 | 10 | $\{2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.79 | 0.00 | 63 |
| | _ | | | | $\{1g_{9/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.85 | 0.00 | 36 |
| $^{92}\mathrm{Zr}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 1.7 | | 410 | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\nu}$ | 1.26 | 0.12 | 79 |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 2.9 | 0.53 | 310 | ${2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}}_{\nu}$ | -0.63 | 0.09 | 20 |
| | | | | | $\{3s_{1/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\nu}$ | -0.45 | -0.04 | 20 |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{9/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.85 | 0.04 | 36 |
| | | | | | $\{2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2}\}_{\pi}$ | -0.36 | -0.02 | 13 |
| ^{92}Mo | 2_{1}^{+} | 1.9 | | 1170 | $\{2d_{5/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\nu}$ | -0.35 | -0.16 | 10 |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{9/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 1.32 | 0.19 | 86 |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 4.4 | 0.25 | 230 | $\{2d_{5/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\nu}$ | -0.65 | -0.07 | 42 |
| | - | | | | $\{2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2}\}_{\pi}$ | -0.63 | -0.02 | 40 |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{9/2},1g_{9/2}\}_{\pi}$ | -0.49 | 0.10 | 11 |
| $^{94}\mathrm{Mo}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 1.2 | | 1730 | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\nu}$ | 0.92 | 0.27 | 39 |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{9/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.99 | 0.37 | 42 |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 2.4 | 1.23 | 160 | $\{2d_{5/2}, 2d_{5/2}\}_{\nu}$ | -1.08 | 0.07 | 58 |
| | | | | | $\{1g_{9/2}, 1g_{9/2}\}_{\pi}$ | 0.89 | 0.02 | 40 |

A.P.S., N.N. Arsenyev, N. Pietralla, Phys. Rev. C 86, 024311 (2012).







A.P.S., N.N. Arsenyev, N. Pietralla, Phys. Rev. C 86, 024311 (2012).

Using the same set of parameters we have studied the properties of the 2^+ excitations of nuclei in the mass range A \approx 90

| | $\lambda_i^{\pi} = 2_i^+$ | $a_i^{\pi} = 2_i^+$ Energy | | Energy Structure | | $B(E2; 0^+_{gs} \to 2^+_i)$ | | $B(E2; 2_i^+ \to 2_1^+)$ | | $\rightarrow 2_1^+)$ |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------|---|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | | (M | leV) | | (e²fi E | m⁺) Tu | (e ^z fr | n*) Ti | (μ_N^2) | - TH |
| | | Expt. | Theory | | Expt. | Theory | Expt. | Theory | Expt. | Theory |
| $^{90}\mathrm{Zr}$ | 2_{1}^{+} | 2.186 | 2.6 | $93\%[2_1^+]$ | 643 ± 22 | 600 | | | | |
| | 2_{2}^{+} | 3.308 | 3.2 | $95\%[2_2^+]$ | 53 ± 14 | 1 | 65 ± 17 | 1 | 0.088 ± 0.025 | 0.00 |
| $^{92}\mathrm{Zr}$ | $> 2_1^+$ | 0.934 | 1.6 | $96\%[2_1^+]$ | 790 ± 62 | 420 | | | | |
| | 2^{+}_{2} | 1.847 | 2.7 | $87\%[2_2^+]$ | 419 ± 49 | 230 | 10^{+12}_{-7} | 4 | $0.37{\pm}0.04$ | 0.41 |
| | 2_{3}^{+} | 2.067 | 2.6 | $45\%[2_4^+] + 37\%[2_1^+ \otimes 2_1^+]$ | < 0.62 | 50 | < 395 | 160 | < 0.024 | 0.17 |
| ^{92}Mo | 2_1^+ | 1.509 | 1.9 | $99\%[2_1^+]$ | 1036 ± 62 | 1160 | | | | |
| | 2_{2}^{+} | 3.091 | 3.8 | $91\%[2^+_1\otimes 2^+_1]$ | 254 ± 20 | 50 | $96{\pm}27$ | 420 | $0.043 {\pm} 0.007$ | 0.03 |
| ^{94}Mo | 2_1^+ | 0.871 | 0.5 | $73\%[2_1^+]$ | 2031 ± 25 | 1280 | | | | |
| | 2_{2}^{+} | 1.864 | 1.8 | $53\%[2_1^+ \otimes 2_1^+] + 21\%[2_3^+]$ | 32 ± 7 | 170 | 720 ± 260 | 190 | $0.06{\pm}0.02$ | 0.07 |
| | 2_{3}^{+} | 2.067 | 2.3 | $87\%[2^+_2]$ | 279 ± 25 | 310 | 124_{-58}^{+76} | 10 | $0.56{\pm}0.05$ | 0.68 |

A.P.S., N.N. Arsenyev, N. Pietralla, Phys. Rev. C 86, 024311 (2012).

STRUCTURE OF 2⁺_{1,2} STATES IN ^{132,134,136}Te

Severyukhin A.P.¹, Arsenyev N.N.¹, Pietralla N.², Werner V.²

¹ Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia; ² Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany E-mail: sever@theor.jinr.ru

Low-lying quadrupole isovector excitations of the valence shell of heavy nuclei represent a unique laboratory for studying the balance between collectivity, shell structure, and the isospin degree of freedom. These excitations, so-called mixed-symmetry (MS) states, have been predicted in the proton-neutron (pn) version of the interacting boson model (IBM-2). An unbalanced pn-content of the wave functions can be interpreted as configurational isospin polarization (CIP) which denotes varying contributions to the 2^+ states by the active proton and neutron configurations due to subshell structure [1]. M1 transitions between low-energy quadrupole excitations of the valence shell are often used as signature for states of MS-character. Starting from a Skyrme interaction we study the properties of the low-energy spectrum of quadrupole excitations. The coupling between one- and two-phonon terms in the wave functions of excited states is taken into account [2]. We use the finiterank separable approximation [3, 4] which enables one to perform the QRPA calculations in very large two-quasiparticle spaces. After the approach has been proven to be sufficiently good to reproduce characteristics of the well-known low-energy spectrum of quadrupole excitations of stable nuclei in the mass range $A \approx 90$ [5], we study the evolution of first and second quadrupole excitations of ^{132,134,136}Te. Using the Skyrme interaction f in conjunction with the volume pairing interaction, our calculations describe well the dramatic reduction of the experimental E2 excitation strength to the 2_1^+ state when going from ¹³²Te to ¹³⁶Te. For ¹³²Te, we identify the 2_2^+ state as a fully developed onephonon MS state. We observe a dominance of the neutron configurations in the wave function of the 2_1^+ state of 136 Te. The 2_2^+ state of 136 Te is a protondominated state, corresponding to a MS state with substantial CIP. Nevertheless, the $B(M1; 2_{MS}^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+)$ value of ¹³⁶Te is larger than that of ¹³²Te due to the subtle mechanism based on the near-degeneracy of the proton single-particle states near the Fermi level [6]. These results suggest the f parameter set for the description of MS states and CIP in neutron-rich isotopes.

This work was partly supported by the Heisenberg-Landau program.

- 1. J.D.Holt et al. // Phys. Rev. C. 2007. V.76. 034325.
- 2. A.P.Severyukhin, V.V.Voronov, N.V.Giai // Eur. Phys. J. A. 2004. V.22. P.397.
- 3. N.V.Giai, Ch.Stoyanov, V.V.Voronov // Phys. Rev. C. 1998. V.57. P.1204.
- 4. A.P.Severyukhin, V.V.Voronov, N.V.Giai // Phys. Rev. C. 2008. V.77. 024322.
- 5. A.P.Severyukhin, N.N.Arsenyev, N.Pietralla // Phys. Rev. C. 2012. V.86. 024311.
- 6. A.P.Severyukhin, N.N.Arsenyev, N.Pietralla, V.Werner // Phys. Rev. C. 2014. V.90. 011306(R).